

**State of Missouri
Department of Public Safety
Office of the Director
Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement Unit**



**Edward Byrne Memorial Justice
Assistance Grant (JAG) and
Recovery-JAG Programs**

FY13 State Annual Report

FOREWORD

On behalf of the State of Missouri and the Department of Public Safety, Office of the Director, it is my pleasure to present the FY13 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) and Recovery-JAG Program Annual Report. Since 1987, the Byrne/JAG Program has been an essential resource in our continuing effort to meet the public safety needs of our state criminal justice community. The Missouri Department of Public Safety remains committed to assisting criminal justice agencies in making Missouri a safer place. The JAG and Recovery-JAG Programs make it possible for Missouri to aggressively address the many public safety issues associated with illicit drugs and violent crime.

The FY13 JAG and Recovery-JAG Program Annual Report is a comprehensive evaluation of state- and local-level projects that have received financial assistance through the JAG and/or Recovery-JAG Program. During this reporting period of July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013, the Missouri Department of Public Safety - Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement Program provided grant awards to the following: 32 projects under the 2012 JAG Solicitation, 105 projects under the 2012 LLEBG Solicitation, 27 projects under the 2012 Recovery-JAG Solicitation, 3 projects under the 2011 State Recovery-JAG Solicitation, and 15 projects under the 2012 Recovery-MJCCG Solicitation.

Financial assistance was provided to projects supporting Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Drug Treatment, and Planning, Evaluation, and Technology Improvement. By supporting the award of the JAG and Recovery-JAG Program money for projects within these purpose areas, we best serve the citizens of Missouri.

The Missouri Department of Public Safety remains committed to our vision, “By embracing the challenges of the future, the Department of Public Safety and the law enforcement community working together will provide the protection and service to create a quality of life in which all people feel safe and secure.” The JAG and Recovery-JAG Programs help us realize this vision.

Jerry Lee, Director
Missouri Department of Public Safety

Missouri Department of Public Safety

Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement Program

FY13 State Annual Report July 1, 2012 – June 30, 2013

Foreword	2
Acknowledgements	4
Executive Summary	5
Section I – Introduction	
Program Overview	6
FY13 Summary	6
FY13 Coordinating Program Summary	9
FY14 Summary	11
Section II - FY13 Summary of Programs, Performance Measures, Evaluation Methods and Evaluation Results	
Purpose Area: Law Enforcement	13
Purpose Area: Prosecution and Court	19
Purpose Area: Prevention and Education.....	22
Purpose Area: Drug Treatment	24
Purpose Area: Planning, Evaluation, and Technology	26
Section III - FY14 Evaluation and Activities	
Law Enforcement Programs	20
Prosecution and Court Programs.....	36
Prevention and Education Programs	37
Corrections and Community Corrections Program.....	38
Drug Treatment Programs.....	39
Planning, Evaluation, and Technology Improvement Programs.....	40
Crime Victim and Witness Programs.....	41
Section IV - Supplemental Information and Documentation	
Attachment A: Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces FY13 Summary Report.....	42
Attachment B: Crime Laboratories State-Funded MCLUP Projects FY13 Summary Report	104
Attachment C: Multi-Jurisdictional Cyber Crime Task Forces FY13 Summary Report	114

Acknowledgements

Governor Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon

Director Jerry Lee
Missouri Department of Public Safety, Office of the Director

Deputy Director Andrea Spillars
Missouri Department of Public Safety, Office of the Director

Eric Shepherd, Program Manager
Missouri Department of Public Safety, Office of the Director, Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement

Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement Program Staff:

Heather Haslag, Assistant Program Manager
Sarah Verhoff, Program Representative
Nancy Capps, Program Representative
Ashley Virgin, Program Representative
Joan Dudenhoefter, Part-Time Clerical Support
Lisa Geiser, Program Specialist - DoD
Jason Miller, Part-Time Aid - DoD
Julie Bleich, Part-Time Aid - DoD
Debbie Sparks, Part-Time Clerk – DoD

Missouri State Highway Patrol, Statistical Analysis Center Staff
Ron Beck

Missouri State Highway Patrol, Operational Systems Development
Chelse Dowell

The Missouri Department of Public Safety wishes to extend its appreciation to the Criminal Justice Agencies that provided the information included in this report.

Grant #2011-DJ-BX-2100 awarded by the Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs, United States Department of Justice provided funding for this report.

Researched and prepared by:
The Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement Program staff and members of the
Statistical Analysis Center - Missouri State Highway Patrol

November 2013

Executive Summary

In 1987, the Missouri Department of Public Safety initiated an administrative section within the Office of the Director, whose primary responsibility was to oversee and coordinate the dissemination of federal funding awards made to Missouri. This administrative section was implemented and titled as the Narcotics Assistance Control Program (NCAP) in response to the establishment of the federal Edward Byrne Memorial Formula Grant (Byrne) and Local Law Enforcement Assistance Grant (LLEBG) Programs authorized by Title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, 42 U.S.C. 3711 et seq. Additionally, the furtherance of the overall mission of the Missouri Department of Public Safety, as defined in Chapter 650 of the Missouri Revised Statutes, became and continues to be the directive for the Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement Program. That mission is to provide a safe and secure environment for all individuals, through efficient and effective law enforcement.

Since the initial implementation, the NCAP has been renamed the Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement (CJ/LE) Program and the Byrne and LLEBG Programs have been consolidated into one program titled the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program.

Throughout the years, the Missouri Department of Public Safety (DPS), through the CJ/LE Program, has been involved in an on-going effort to identify the criminal justice needs of state and local units of government. As a result of this process, the CJ/LE Program has provided the financial and technical assistance required to initiate state and local level responses to crime and drug related issues. This response, which parallels the established objectives of the JAG Program as outlined by the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Assistance, is the foundation for project initiatives within Missouri. It remains the priority of the CJ/LE Program to identify state and local initiatives which assist the state of Missouri in the enforcement of drug control or controlled substance laws, initiatives which emphasize the prevention and control of violent crime and serious offenders, and initiatives which improve the effectiveness of the state and local criminal justice system.

In compliance with section 522(a) of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act, the FY13 State Annual Report (SAR), will outline the impact of JAG and Recovery-JAG Program funding on the criminal justice system within the jurisdictions of state and local government. During the reporting period covered in this annual report, July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013, the Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement Program provided funding assistance in five (5) authorized purpose areas. The total monetary award for this reporting period was \$15,696,508.89 for which the CJ/LE Program was able to provide financial assistance to 182 state and local level projects.

For FY14, the level of JAG (and LLEBG) funding awarded, as of the date of this report, by the CJ/LE Program is providing financial assistance in the amount of \$3,702,561.09 to 145 state and local level projects.

The DPS - CJ/LE Program continues to be an essential component of the statewide effort to address violent crime and drugs. Through the JAG and Recovery-JAG Programs, Missouri has the financial capability to maintain essential projects that provide needed services for the criminal justice community. In addition to the initiatives previously described, the CJ/LE Program places an equally high priority on the development and continuation of projects and partnerships that enhance a state or local unit of government's ability to implement aggressive responses to the public safety needs of their respective service areas. The CJ/LE Program strives to implement progressive demand reduction, community, multi-jurisdictional, judicial, correctional, analytical and informational-based response strategies to the public safety threats of crime and drugs.

I. INTRODUCTION

PROGRAM OVERVIEW

The Missouri Department of Public Safety, Office of the Director, Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement Program manages the distribution of federal funds provided to the State by the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs (OJP), Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA), Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program. The unit responsible for the management of these funds is the Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement (CJ/LE) Program. Since 1987, the JAG Program (formerly known as the Edward Byrne Memorial Formula Grant and Local Law Enforcement Block Grant Programs) provided criminal justice agencies with financial resources to confront drugs and violence. The Missouri Department of Public Safety, Office of the Director is committed to assisting state and local efforts to make Missouri a safer place. Dealing with illicit drugs and violent crime head-on is critical to this effort and Federal grant monies make this possible.

The Missouri Department of Public Safety has undertaken a comprehensive approach to utilizing the JAG and Recovery-JAG dollars. Enforcement/interdiction, prevention/education, treatment, criminal litigation, improving criminal history records, and improving statewide illicit drug and violent crime data are the focus areas for the 2012/2013 funding year. By addressing these issues, we believe we can receive the most benefit for the citizens of Missouri.

Since the beginning of Byrne/JAG funding in 1987, the Missouri Department of Public Safety (DPS), CJ/LE Program, has developed a comprehensive strategic approach to the drug and violent crime problems facing Missouri. The current strategy has been designed as a strategic “road map” for the years of 2012 through 2013. The strategy developed by the DPS – CJ/LE Program, in conjunction with the Statistical Analysis Center (SAC) of the Missouri State Highway Patrol, will provide the State of Missouri with a directional foundation for the next century.

The State of Missouri has, and will continue to build on past years’ successes by supporting effective projects, which are committed to the overall objective of a safer Missouri. DPS will continue to evaluate the effectiveness of each state and local project receiving federal money, to ensure that the goals and objectives of each project are addressing the needs of Missouri citizens.

FY13 SUMMARY

Implementation of the 2012 JAG funding year began with the review of project applications on May 7, 2012 by a grant review committee consisting of the DPS - CJ/LE Program staff and individuals from the criminal justice and private sector. Forty-seven (47) requests for funding were reviewed within the approved project categories as described below. The grant evaluation process was competitive in nature, and only those grant applications determined to coordinate with the goals and objectives of the statewide strategy were considered for funding. Thirty-two (32) grant awards were made to state and local recipients in the amount of \$4,383,294.05 for the 12-month contract period of July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013.

In addition, twenty-nine (29) requests for funding were received through the 2012 Recovery-JAG Program. These project applications were reviewed internally by the DPS – CJ/LE Program staff and were intended to supplement the JAG funding for multi-jurisdictional drug task forces. Twenty-seven (27) grant awards were made to the multi-jurisdictional drug task forces in the amount of \$1,225,479.14 for the 8-month contract period of July 1, 2012 to February 28, 2013.

Fifteen (15) requests for funding were received through the 2012 Recovery-MJCCG Program. These project applications were reviewed on May 9, 2012 by a grant review committee consisting of the DPS – CJ/LE Program staff and individuals from the criminal justice and private sector. Fifteen (15) grant awards were made to multi-jurisdictional cyber crime task forces in the amount of \$999,813.17 for the 8-month contract period of July 1, 2012 to February 28, 2013.

Although awarded during the FY12 fiscal year, three (3) grant awards were continued through the 2011 State Recovery-JAG Program to units of state government. The grant awards totaled \$4,143,723.71 and were for the 20-month contract period of July 1, 2011 to February 28, 2013.

Finally, two hundred eight (208) requests for funding were received through the 2013 LLEBG Program. These project applications were reviewed on October 24-25, 2012 by a grant review committee consisting of the DPS – CJ/LE Program staff and individuals from criminal justice agencies. The grant evaluation process was competitive in nature, and only those grant applications determined to coordinate with the goals and objectives of the statewide strategy with an emphasis on officer safety

were considered for funding. One hundred five (105) grant awards were made to local recipients in the amount of \$644,238.10 for the 6-month contract period of January 1, 2013 to June 30, 2013.

The FY13 program planning approach of the DPS – CJ/LE Program used statistical information obtained from various reporting entities throughout the State. This information not only aided in the identification of drug and crime related trends, but also assisted in the evaluation of projects supported by the DPS – CJ/LE Program. Quarterly reports required of Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces collected valuable information on their activities and workload as well as information on drug prevalence, enforcement, and distribution of drug industries in the state. Programs funded under other purpose areas provided information in semi-annual and annual progress reports that described their activities and successes. Detailed reports of success are provided in Section III of this report.

Following is a brief summary on each category funded through the DPS - CJ/LE Program during the 2012/2013 funding cycle.

Law Enforcement Programs

Funding for Law Enforcement projects was the largest funding category for the DPS – CJ/LE Program during funding year 2012/2013.

Under the 2012 JAG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded \$3,943,503.07 to twenty-seven (27) multi-jurisdictional drug task forces and \$161,694.35 to one (1) multi-agency law enforcement group for a total of \$4,105,197.42. Of the 114 counties in the state of Missouri, 100 were active participants/members of these multi-jurisdictional enforcement efforts.

Under the 2012 Recovery-JAG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded \$1,225,479.14 to twenty-seven (27) multi-jurisdictional drug task forces as a supplement to their JAG award. Of the 114 counties in the state of Missouri, the same 100 counties were active participants/members of these multi-jurisdictional enforcement efforts.

Federal funding was awarded for the above-mentioned projects as multi-jurisdictional, multi-agency counter-drug enforcement efforts. During 2012/2013, the illicit drug methamphetamine continued to be a priority for an aggressive law enforcement strategy, designed to slow or halt the spread of this drug. As the scope of the methamphetamine problem extends beyond the capabilities of a single entity, many partnerships have been forged in response to this threat to public safety, public health and the sovereignty of our State's environment. The DPS – CJ/LE Program has placed great emphasis on the establishment of a comprehensive Memorandum of Understanding/Agreement between all partners of the multi-jurisdictional enforcement group so that a more comprehensive understanding of responsibilities and expectations exist. Through local, state and federal collaborations and a continued aggressive response, we anticipate the rise in methamphetamine related activity to peak and eventually decline.

During the past three fiscal years, the following statistics were collected for the Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces funded by the DPS – CJ/LE Program in the State of Missouri. The following statistics are an example of the data collected through the Quarterly Progress Reports. More detailed information can be reviewed in Section IV of this report

	<u>FY 2011</u>	<u>FY 2012</u>	<u>FY 2013</u>
Arrested with one or more drug charges	7,141	7,792	6,315
Search warrants served	1,134	1,188	1,220
Consent searches performed	2,903	3,480	3,700
Methamphetamine labs seized/destroyed:	1,593	1,709	1,395
<u>OUNCES OF DRUGS SEIZED</u>	<u>FY 2011</u>	<u>FY 2012</u>	<u>FY 2013</u>
Marijuana	232,006	190,604	152,434
Methamphetamine	2,089	37,294	3,266
Cocaine	4,318	4,566	1,522
Crack	121	54	115
Heroin	467	255	204
LSD	0.85	27	8
PCP	3	494	126
Ecstasy	7	18	10
Pseudoephedrine	1,955	49	81
Anhydrous Ammonia (gallons)	0	5,648	1,637
Other Drugs	779	6,614	3,575
Total value of all drugs seized:	\$41,450,744	\$54,643,359	\$47,719,856
<u>Doses of Drugs Seized</u>			
Ecstasy:	1,670	2,461	1,861

Pseudoephedrine / Ephedrine:	4,744	4,474	3,226
<u>Gallons of Drug Precursors Seized</u>			
Anhydrous Ammonia:	298	15	154
<u>Top Five Drug Arrest Charge Codes:</u>	<u>FY 2011</u>	<u>FY 2012</u>	<u>FY 2013</u>
	Sale/Methamphetamine	Sale/Methamphetamine	Sale/Methamphetamine
		Poss/Marijuana	Poss/Marijuana
	Poss/Methamphetamine		
	Poss/Methamphetamine	Poss/Methamphetamine	Poss/Marijuana
	Sale/Marijuana	Poss/Paraphernalia	Sale/Marijuana
	Poss/Paraphernalia	Sale/Marijuana	Poss/Other

***The above statistical data is obtained from the Quarterly Reports submitted by the multi-jurisdictional enforcement groups receiving JAG Program funding between July 1, 2012 and June 30, 2013.**

Under the 2012 Recovery-MJCCG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded \$999,813.17 to fifteen (15) multi-jurisdictional cyber crime task forces. The MJCCG Program continues funding to a previously funded state program. In December 2006, the State of Missouri appropriated state monies for the purpose of establishing the Internet Cyber Crime Grant (ICCG) program, which allowed for the funding of salaries of detectives and forensic personnel and training for those individuals whom worked directly with internet crimes relating to child pornography, enticement, solicitation, and other sex-related offenses. State funding was re-appropriated in FY09 but was not re-appropriated for FY10, FY11, FY12, or FY13. Therefore, in FY13, the State of Missouri, Department of Public Safety, allocated monies from the Recovery-JAG Program in order to retain the previously funded positions and to continue the enforcement and public training provided by the cyber crime units within the state. Of the 114 counties in the state of Missouri, 102 counties were active participants/members of these multi-jurisdictional enforcement efforts during the 2012 funding opportunity.

Under the 2011 State Recovery-JAG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded \$4,143,723.71 to two (2) state projects for the purpose of purchasing equipment and combating rural crimes within the state.

Under the 2013 LLEBG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded \$644,238.10 to one hundred five (105) law enforcement agencies. The LLEBG Program is a vital funding mechanism for law enforcement. Short-term contracts are awarded from the less than \$10,000 portion of the JAG Program for purchase of basic law enforcement and officer safety equipment that will enable Missouri law enforcement to meet their local needs. Such items include, but are not limited to light bars, sirens, mobile and portable radios, flashlights, handcuffs, protective clothing, ballistic vests, car cages, in-car cameras, locks, and trauma kits.

Prosecution and Court Programs

Under the 2012 JAG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded one (1) project for an award of \$29,850.92. This program is designed to improve the criminal justice system's response to domestic and family violence, including spouse abuse and child abuse.

Prevention and Education Programs

Under the 2012 JAG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded one (1) project for an award of \$155,902.68. This program is designed to provide the proper supplies and reference materials to law enforcement officers and emergency personnel to help safely respond to clandestine methamphetamine lab incidents and not harm the environment.

Corrections and Community Corrections Programs

No funding assistance was provided to this purpose area during the 2012/2013 funding cycle. Corrections Programs aim to supervise offenders and prepare them for return to their communities. Correctional agencies give inmates opportunities to develop life and work skills that will help their return be successful and are using treatment, work, education, and mental health programs to build these skills. Community-based-corrections are a criminal corrections option that provides an offender with sanctions, supervision, and treatment in a community setting instead of in prison.

Drug Treatment Programs

Under the 2012 JAG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE program awarded one (1) project for an award of \$23,698.14. Drug-treatment-programs identify and meet the treatment needs of adult and juvenile drug dependent and alcohol-dependent

offenders. Such programs can include behavioral therapy (such as counseling, cognitive therapy, or psychotherapy), medications, or a combination of both and are intended to provide intensive assistance to those individuals that are battling a substance abuse addiction.

Planning, Evaluation, and Technology Improvement Programs

Under the 2012 JAG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded two (1) project for an award of \$68,644.89. This program enhances the State's ability to collect accurate criminal history record information, in a timely manner, and provide the appropriate storage mechanism within the Missouri Criminal Records Repository. The project will continue to enhance the State's ability to collect accurate criminal history record information, in a timely manner. This goal remains a top priority for the State of Missouri and this approved purpose area provides the financial mechanism that enables the State to collect the required criminal records data from all criminal justice entities and provide the appropriate storage mechanism within the Missouri Criminal Records Repository. In addition, local criminal justice agencies are assisted with automated criminal justice reporting to the state central repository to ensure reports are timely, accurate and complete.

Crime Victim and Witness Programs

No funding assistance was provided to this purpose area during the 2012/2013 funding cycle. Crime victim and victim-witness-programs are designed to provide victims, witnesses to crimes, and jurors with services while involved in the criminal justice system. As a victim, such programs are geared to help deal with feelings of confusion, frustration, fear, and anger and explain your rights as a victim or witness. Other activities include advocacy for victims who encounter difficulty accessing services or who believe their statutory or constitutional rights have been denied and notification and assistance to victims whose offender has the potential for parole.

FY13 COORDINATING PROGRAM SUMMARY

It is recognized illicit drug use and distribution are linked to other types of criminal behavior contributing to social problems facing the State of Missouri. These only can be addressed through coordination of efforts and resources at all levels. The Department of Defense (DOD) 1033 Excess Property Program, Missouri Crime Lab Upgrade Program (MCLUP), and Residential Substance Abuse Treatment (RSAT) Programs are administered and coordinated by the DPS - CJ/LE Program to prevent duplication of efforts and to build a comprehensive enforcement strategy.

Department of Defense (DOD) 1033 Excess Property Program

During July 1, 2012 and June 30, 2013, there continued to be an increase in the number of agencies that have registered to participate in the DOD 1033 Excess Property Program. The Missouri Department of Public Safety (DPS) continues to see an increase in the number of agencies that are processing requests compared to FY12. With the ever increasing budget restraints and manpower shortages, the number of agencies utilizing the electronic screening process over the internet-based website for the Defense Logistics Agency's (DLA) Disposition Services Agency (DSA) is increasing as well. The cost of shipping equipment directly to their agency is by far cheaper than the agency traveling to the Disposition Services Location (DSL) to pick the item(s) up. This in turn increases the total dollar amount of property the agencies are receiving each fiscal year.

As an approved Transitional Distribution Center (Center), DPS staff continued to screen and tag mostly IT equipment, such as desktop and laptop computers. Staff can bring these items back to the Center and refurbish them prior to issuing them out to the requesting local agencies. This IT equipment is assisting law enforcement agencies in capturing crime statistics data and managing records as well as inter-agency networking via the Internet.

Types of property these local agencies are tagging include, but are not limited to: watercraft, for the agencies located along one of the many rivers or lakes in the State of Missouri; generators, to assist during power losses due to storms; off-road 4x4 vehicles, to assist with drug eradication; and specialty gear, such as night vision goggles, spotting scopes, red dot rifle scopes, and load-bearing tactical vests, used by tactical teams for high risk entry. In addition, during FY13, DPS staff has seen a significant increase in the number of agencies requesting weapons for high-risk search warrant entry and active shooter incident response along with an increase in requests for the off road HMMWV (Hummer).

Missouri Crime Lab Upgrade Program (MCLUP)

Although not funded from the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) program, Missouri crime laboratories are included in this report because analysis of evidence is a key to the successful prosecution of drug offenders. In addition, data collected from crime laboratories can be an invaluable resource for analyzing Missouri's illicit drug problem. Several crime laboratories receive funding from the state-funded Missouri Crime Lab Upgrade Program (MCLUP) grant administered by the DPS - CJ/LE Program. The MCLUP Program was created pursuant to 650.105 RSMo and funds are collected pursuant to 488.029 RSMo and deposited into the "State Laboratory Forensic Account". These grants provide state-of-the-art equipment, supplies, and manpower to regional crime labs throughout the state to reduce backlogs and increase turnaround in the analysis of evidence.

During the FY13 reporting period, the DPS – CJ/LE Program made six (6) MCLUP awards to state and local recipients in the amount of \$606,681.81. The following crime laboratories received 2013 MCLUP monies for the 12 month contract period of June 1, 2012 to May 31, 2013:

1. Independence Police Department Crime Laboratory
2. Kansas City Police Department Crime Laboratory
3. Missouri State Highway Patrol Crime Laboratory
4. St. Charles County Sheriff's Office Crime Laboratory
5. St. Louis County Sheriff's Office Crime Laboratory
6. St. Louis Metro Police Department Crime Laboratory

NOTE: A full statistical summary of Crime Laboratory accomplishments from FY13 is provided in attachment B of this report.

Residential Substance Abuse Treatment (RSAT) Program

The Residential Substance Abuse Treatment (RSAT) Program was authorized under the federal Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994, as amended and reauthorized [Public Law 103-322, 42 U.S.C. 3796ff-1(3)]. The U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs (OJP), Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) is the awarding agency of these federal funds.

The goal of the RSAT Program is to break the cycle of drugs and violence by reducing the demand for, use, and trafficking of illegal drugs.

The objectives of the RSAT Program are to: 1) Enhance the capability of states and units of local government to provide residential substance abuse treatment for incarcerated inmates; 2) Prepare offenders for their reintegration into the communities from which they came by incorporating re-entry planning activities into treatment programs; and 3) Assist both the offenders and their communities through the reentry process

During the FY13 reporting period, the DPS – CJ/LE made two (2) RSAT awards to state and local recipients for the 12-month contract period of June 1, 2012 to May 31, 2013. The total award amount for this period was \$415,731.45. Contracts were awarded to the Missouri Department of Corrections in Bowling Green, MO and the St. Louis County Justice Services Department in Clayton, MO.

The Missouri Department of Corrections project continued the provision of residential substance abuse treatment services to mobility impaired and other special needs offenders who received programming services at Northeast Correctional Center. These clinical services included assessment and treatment planning, group education, group counseling, individual case management, employability skills, individual counseling and referral to community continuing care in the community.

The St. Louis County Justice Services project continued the provision of jail-based substance abuse treatment services to inmates sentenced to the Department of Justice Services Choices Program. In addition, the inmates, as well as released inmates, were given the opportunity to attend weekly Aftercare groups and individual sessions to ensure their continued sobriety and success within the community.

State Cyber Crime Grant (SCCG) Program

Following the project period end of the Recovery-JAG monies, the State of Missouri continued funding through the state-funded SCCG Program for the salaries, training, and operational expenses of detectives and forensic personnel working directly with internet crimes relating to child pornography, enticement, solicitation, and other sex-related offenses.

During the FY13 reporting period, the DPS – CJ/LE Program made twelve (12) SCCG awards to state and local recipients. The total award for this period was \$239,859.94. The following multi-jurisdictional cyber task forces received 2013 SCCG monies for the 3 month contract period of March 1, 2013 to May 31, 2013:

1. Boone County Sheriff's Department Cyber Crimes Task Force
2. Clayton City - Regional Computer Crimes Education and Enforcement Group (RCCEEG)
3. Dent County - South Central Missouri Computer Crimes Task Force
4. Independence - Northeastern Jackson County Cyber Crimes Working Group Against Internet Crimes
5. Joplin City - Southwestern Missouri (SWMO) Cyber Crime Task Force
6. Kirksville City - Regional Computer Crimes Unit
7. Missouri Department of Social Services - STAT Operation Cyber-Safe
8. Platte County - Western Missouri Cyber Crime Task Force (WMCCTF)
9. Poplar Bluff City - Southeast Missouri (SEMO) Cyber Crimes Task Force
10. St. Charles County Internet Crimes Against Children
11. St. Louis County Special Investigations Unit
12. Stone County - Tri-Lake Regional Internet Crimes Task Force

Of the 114 counties in the state of Missouri, 102 counties were active participants/members of these multi-jurisdictional enforcement efforts during the 2013 funding opportunity.

NOTE: A full statistical summary of Multi-Jurisdictional Cyber Crime Task Force accomplishments from FY13 is provided in attachment C of this report. The Task Forces were funded with federal Recovery-MJCCG monies from July 1, 2012 to February 28, 2013 (Quarters 1 – 3) and from state SCCG monies from March 1, 2013 to May 31, 2013 (Quarter 4).

FY14 SUMMARY

Implementation of the 2013/2014 funding year began with the review of the 2013 JAG funding opportunity applications on May 9, 2013 by a review committee consisting of the DPS - CJ/LE Program staff and individuals from the criminal justice and private sector. Forty-nine (49) requests for funding were reviewed within the approved project categories as described below. The grant evaluation process was competitive in nature, and only those grant applications determined to coordinate with the goals and objectives of the statewide strategy were considered for funding. Thirty-one (31) grant awards were made to state and local recipients in the amount of \$3,702,469.46.

In addition, one hundred ninety-five (195) requests for funding were received through the Local Law Enforcement Block Grant (LLEBG) Program, which is money set aside from the less than \$10,000 portion of the JAG Program. A review committee consisting of DPS – CJ/LE Program staff and individuals from the criminal justice sector met on October 29-30, 2013. Grant awards were made to one hundred fourteen (114) local recipients in the amount of \$717,174.81.

Following is a brief summary on each category funded through the DPS – CJ/LE Program during the 2013/2014 funding cycle. Evaluation plans for these programs are provided in Section III of this report.

Law Enforcement Programs

Funding for Law Enforcement projects was once again the largest funding category for the DPS – CJ/LE Program during funding year 2013/2014.

Under the 2013 JAG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded \$3,250,284.10 to twenty-six (26) multi-jurisdictional drug task forces, \$161,694.35 to one (1) multi-agency law enforcement group, for a total of \$3,411,978.45. Of the 114 counties in the state of Missouri, 97 counties were active participants/members of these multi-jurisdictional enforcement efforts.

Under the 2014 LLEBG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program has tentatively awarded \$717,174.81 to one hundred fourteen (114) local law enforcement agencies. The LLEBG Program is a vital funding mechanism for law enforcement. Short-term contracts are awarded from the less than \$10,000 portion of the JAG Program for purchase of basic law enforcement and officer safety equipment that will enable Missouri law enforcement to meet their local needs. Such items include, but are not limited to light bars, sirens, mobile and portable radios, flashlights, handcuffs, protective clothing, ballistic vests, car cages, in-car cameras, locks, and trauma kits.

Prosecution and Court Programs

Under the 2013 JAG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded \$30,399.14. This approved purpose area will provide financial assistance to one (1) project to implement and enhance the response of criminal justice agencies to criminal activity. Training of law enforcement, prosecution, judicial, and medical staff may also be provided on proper handling/processing of these cases as well as establishment of communication lines between involved criminal justice agencies leads to effective resolution of this problem.

Prevention and Education Programs

Under the 2013 JAG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded \$164,522.18. This approved purpose area will provide financial assistance to one (1) project. The project will continue to provide the proper supplies and reference material to Missouri law enforcement, fire service and other emergency response officials to help them safely respond to methamphetamine laboratory incidents and perform their jobs with reduced risk of injury to themselves, the public, and the environment.

Corrections and Community Corrections Programs

No funding assistance provided to this approved purpose area during the 2013/2014 funding cycle.

Drug Treatment Programs

Under the 2013 JAG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded \$23,741.74. This approved purpose area will provide financial assistance to one (1) project. Drug-treatment-programs identify and meet the treatment needs of adult and juvenile drug dependent and alcohol-dependent offenders. Such programs can include behavioral therapy (such as counseling, cognitive therapy, or psychotherapy), medications, or a combination of both and are intended to provide intensive assistance to those individuals that are battling a substance abuse addiction.

Panning, Evaluation, and Technology Improvement Programs

Under the 2013 JAG funding opportunity, the CJ/LE Program awarded \$71,827.95. This approved purpose area will provide financial assistance to one (1) project. The project will continue to enhance the State's ability to collect accurate criminal history record information, in a timely manner. This goal remains a top priority for the State of Missouri and this approved purpose area provides the financial mechanism that enables the State to collect the required criminal records data from all criminal justice entities and provide the appropriate storage mechanism within the Missouri Criminal Records Repository. In addition, local criminal justice agencies are assisted with automated criminal justice reporting to the state central repository to ensure reports are timely, accurate and complete.

II. FY13 SUMMARY OF PROGRAMS, PERFORMANCE MEASURES, EVALUATION METHODS, AND EVALUATION RESULTS

State Fiscal Year	Awarded to Sub-Recipients	Expended by Sub-Recipients	Admin Expended by SAA
2012-2013	\$15,696,508.89	\$9,584,099.30	\$472,596.43

PURPOSE AREA: LAW ENFORCEMENT

Number of Sub-grants: 175

Federal Funds Awarded: \$11,118,451.54

Federal Funds Expended: \$8,079,212.84

PROBLEM STATEMENT

Illicit drugs cause major problems for law enforcement agencies in the State of Missouri. The use, sale, distribution, and transportation of illegal narcotics must be addressed.

A significant amount of crime both in the State of Missouri, and nation as a whole can largely be attributed to drug violations. Drug violations can act as a springboard to other crimes such as homicides, robberies, assaults, larcenies, burglaries, vandalism, and violence in public housing, and help to create a fear of crime in neighborhoods.

Because of the sparse population in the rural areas of the State, drug traffickers for clandestine laboratories, where amphetamine/methamphetamine is manufactured, often use these areas. Many of the rural areas are protected by local law enforcement agencies that have limited resources and are unable to provide 24 hour staffing to protect its citizens, much less operate specialized drug units without financial assistance. The hazardous material generated by the manufacture of methamphetamine and left behind by clandestine laboratory operators compounds this problem.

In addition, use of the Internet has become widespread in the United States and is accepted by many juveniles as a way to communicate with their peers by posting personal websites or joining social networks. Unfortunately the anonymity of the Internet can lead to misuse by sex offenders and sexual predators and cyber bullies. In a Youth Internet Safety Survey conducted by the Crimes Against Children Research Center in 1999, one in five U.S. teenagers who regularly log on to the Internet say they have received an unwanted sexual solicitation such as sexual talk or release of personal sexual information, and 1 in 25 youth were solicited to make offline contact. Of those solicited for offline contact, four percent of youth in the CCRC survey were solicited to meet via telephone, mail, or in person. Of the surveyed children, 77% of the targets for online predators were age 14 or older and another 22% were aged 10 to 13.

Another common misuse of the Internet is consumer fraud or other white collar crimes. According to the Bureau of Justice Assistance, in 2011 the Internet Crime Complaint Center (IC3) received 314,246 complaint submissions. This is a 3.4% increase when compared to 2010. These complaints represent a loss of \$485.3 million to Internet fraud, or median dollar loss of \$636.00 per complaint. The IC3 received 71 complaints from Missouri in 2011.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The overall drug and crime problem reveals an increasingly adverse effect upon our community and society in general. The Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force Program is a significant tool in combating the plague of drug and cyber crime activity that is present in our society. Agencies join together and combine resources in a team approach to provide enforcement in their target areas. As a result of the Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force programs, communications are improved between law enforcement agencies. These lines of communication are essential in sharing information and thereby coordinating a combined effort to combat the drug and crime problem, as well as addressing the hazards associated with the residual effects of methamphetamine manufacturing.

Through in service training, cyber crime task force personnel will keep current on evolving trends in Internet solicitations and learn new techniques and best practices utilized in sting operations aimed at identifying and apprehending online sexual predators. Awareness of cyber crimes will be increased through computer crime prevention programs and presentations given to local businesses, schools, law enforcement agencies, as well as to the general public. The quality and quantity of collected evidence for prosecution of Internet sexual predators will be enhanced by increasing the number forensic laboratory and cell phone examinations conducted by cyber crime task forces.

GOALS, OBJECTIVES, ACTIVITIES & PERFORMANCE MEASURES (PM)

Goal 1: To organize a Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force.

Objective 1: Agencies participating in a Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force Program funded under the JAG (or Recovery-JAG) Program must be involved early in planning for the implementation of this program. Program needs, as well as problems that may be encountered should be discussed.

PM: 1 - Cooperation of participating agencies is critical for an effective Multi-Jurisdictional Program. An agreement must be developed and signed by the department heads of the participating agencies pledging cooperative support.

Objective 2: Identify and arrest for successful prosecution individuals or groups involved in illicit drug trafficking.

PM: 1 - Gather intelligence / information
2 - Cultivate informants
3 - Identify previously unknown drug organizations and develop investigations on those groups
4 - Gather evidence for arrest and prosecution
5 - Seize illegal assets derived from drug related investigations

Objective 3: Develop a cost-effective system for the safe disposal of hazardous materials generated as by-products of clandestine drug laboratories.

PM: 1 - Develop a cross-discipline communication and cooperation model (task force, fire, EMS, environmental agencies, etc.)
2 - Train task force members in the proper collection and disposal methods associated with clandestine laboratories

Goal 2: Improve the response of Missouri law enforcement to Internet cyber crimes involving sexual solicitation of children.

Objective 1: Develop comprehensive law enforcement service training programs to expand knowledge of Internet crimes and best practices and techniques to enforce cyber crime laws.

PM: 1 - Representatives from cyber crime task forces will develop training plans and share techniques and practices with other task forces.
2 - Training plans and curriculums of developed training programs.
3 - Number of sites where training and assistance is provided.

Objective 2: Provide training to law enforcement personnel in recognizing child victimizations of Internet crime and procedures for effective reporting and processing these crimes.

PM: 1 - Attendance of law enforcement personnel at training.

Objective 3: Increase and improve use of law enforcement tools for effectively respond to cyber crimes involving children and enhance prosecution of offenders of these crimes.

PM: 1 - Number of forensic and cell phone examinations requested and conducted for child cyber crime cases.
2 - Number of warrants requested, authorized, served, arrests, and warrant seizures by law enforcement cyber crime task forces.
3 - Number of received tips and knock & talks resulting in cyber crime investigations.

Goal 3: Improve public awareness of Internet cyber crimes involving sexual solicitation of children.

Objective 1: Develop comprehensive public awareness programs and presentations to expand knowledge of Internet crimes and best practices and techniques to enforce cyber crime laws.

- PM:
- 1 - Representatives from cyber crime task forces will develop awareness programs and presentations and share techniques and practices with other task forces.
 - 2 - Provide comprehensive program plans and presentations to community businesses, schools, and other public audiences.
 - 3 - Number of sites where programs and presentations are provided.
 - 4 - Attendance to public awareness programs and presentations.

PROGRAM ACTIVITIES/COMPONENTS

All projects funded through this program must:

- Submit a copy of the Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force formal agreement
- Follow policies and guidelines for management of confidential expenditures (drug task forces)
- Report quarterly arrest, types and amounts of drugs purchased, and seizure statistics and anecdotal data by which to analyze the effectiveness of the task force (drug task forces)
- Report quarterly arrest, case activity, types and number of investigations, and training statistics and anecdotal data by which to analyze the effectiveness of the task force (cyber crime task forces)
- All projects funded from this program will receive at least one (1) monitoring contact
- Submit monthly reports of expenditures
- Submit quarterly progress reports

EVALUATION METHODS

Quarterly reports and the automated information system will be utilized for evaluation reporting.

EVALUATION RESULTS (ER)

The following is the list of Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces funded by the JAG and Recovery-JAG Program:

1. Adair County - North Missouri (NOMO) Drug Task Force
2. Audrain County - East Central Drug Task Force (ECDTF)
3. Bates County - Community Narcotics Enforcement Team (CNET)
4. Board of Police Commissioners - Kansas City Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force
5. Bridgeton City - Municipal Enforcement Group Against Drug Abuse (MEGADA)
6. Buchanan County Drug Strike Force
7. Camden County - Lake Area Narcotics Enforcement Group (LANEG)
8. Clay County - Clay County Drug Task Force
9. Cole County - Mid-Missouri Unified Strike Team And Narcotics Unit (MUSTANG)
10. Farmington City - Mineral Area Drug Task Force (MADTF)
11. Franklin County Narcotics Enforcement Unit
12. Greene County - Combined Ozarks Multi-Jurisdictional Enforcement Team (COMET)
13. Grundy County - Northwest Missouri Interagency Team Response Operation (NITRO)
14. Jackson County Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force
15. Jasper County Drug Task Force (JCDTF)
16. Jefferson County Municipal Enforcement Group (JCMEG)
17. Lafayette County Narcotics Unit Task Force
18. McDonald County - Southwest Missouri (SWMO) Drug Task Force
19. Monroe City - Northeast Missouri (NEMO) Narcotics Task Force
20. Morgan County - Mid-Missouri (Mid-MO) Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Force
21. Pemiscot County - Bootheel Drug Task Force
22. Platte County Multi-Jurisdictional Enforcement Group (PCMEG)
23. Poplar Bluff City - Southeast Missouri (SEMO) Drug Task Force
24. St. Charles County Regional Drug Task Force (SCCRDTF)

25. St. Louis City Metro Multi-Jurisdictional Undercover Drug Program
26. St. Louis County Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Force
27. West Plains City - South Central Drug Task Force

NOTE: A full statistical summary of Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Force accomplishments from FY13 is provided in Attachment A of this report.

The following evaluation results are a summary of the data collected from the quarterly reports submitted by all the Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces.

Organization of Multi-Jurisdiction Drug Task Forces

1. Organization and planning of each Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force is the responsibility of the primary governing body as outlined in the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)
2. 297 law enforcement agencies were involved with Multi-jurisdictional Drug Task Forces
3. 272 full time and 77 part time law enforcement officers were involved with Multi-jurisdictional Drug Task Forces

Arrest and prosecution of individuals/groups in drug trafficking

1. Total arrests during fiscal year 2013 were 6,315
2. The five most frequent drug charges were: 1) sale methamphetamine; 2) possession methamphetamine; 3) possession marijuana; 4) possession paraphernalia; and 5) sale marijuana
3. 1,660 arrest charges for sale of methamphetamine
4. 1,513 arrest charges for possession of methamphetamine
5. 1,185 arrest charges for possession of marijuana
6. 889 arrest charges for possession of paraphernalia
7. 696 arrest charges for sale of marijuana
8. During the four quarters reported for fiscal year 2013, 1,220 search warrants were served and 1,137 search warrants resulted in arrests.
9. The 27 Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces of Missouri located and destroyed 1,395 methamphetamine clandestine laboratories.
10. The statewide street value of all drugs seized totaled \$47,719,856. This amount includes the seizures of 152,434.82 ounces of marijuana, 1,522.86 ounces of cocaine, 3,266.38 ounces of methamphetamine, 81.29 ounces of pseudoephedrine, 1,522.68 ounces of crack cocaine, 204.10 ounces of heroin, and 10.34 ounces of ecstasy.
11. Multi-jurisdictional Drug Task Forces seized a total of 3,226 doses of pseudoephedrine, 1,861 doses of ecstasy, and 154 gallons of anhydrous ammonia.
12. Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces reported a total of \$117,197 of informant expenditures utilized for 623 active informants.
13. During this reporting period, a total of 8,998 new cases were filed, with 8,437 cases still active from the previous year. A total of 17,435 active court cases awaited trial in 2013 and 7,919 were disposed.

The following is the list of Multi-Jurisdictional Cyber Crime Task Forces funded by the MJCCG Program:

13. Boone County Sheriff's Department Cyber Crimes Task Force
14. Clayton City - Regional Computer Crimes Education and Enforcement Group (RCCEEG)
15. Dent County - South Central Missouri Computer Crimes Task Force
16. Independence - Northeastern Jackson County Cyber Crimes Working Group Against Internet Crimes
17. Joplin City - Southwestern Missouri (SWMO) Cyber Crime Task Force
18. Kirksville City - Regional Computer Crimes Unit
19. Missouri Department of Social Services - STAT Operation Cyber-Safe
20. Platte County - Western Missouri Cyber Crime Task Force (WMCCTF)
21. Poplar Bluff City - Southeast Missouri (SEMO) Cyber Crimes Task Force
22. St. Charles County Internet Crimes Against Children
23. St. Louis County Special Investigations Unit
24. Stone County - Tri-Lake Regional Internet Crimes Task Force

A full statistical summary of Multi-Jurisdictional Cyber Crime Task Force accomplishments from FY13 is provided in Attachment C of this report. The following evaluation results are a summary of the data collected from the quarterly reports submitted by all the Cyber Crime Task Forces.

Organization Cyber Crime Task Forces

1. 58 law enforcement agencies were involved with Cyber Crime Task Forces full time.
2. 45 law enforcement agencies were involved with Cyber Crime Task Forces part time.

Arrest and prosecution of individuals/groups in Cyber Crime

1. During this period there were 410 arrest offenses with 354 arrest made.
2. The five most frequent offenses were: 1) Possession of Child Porn, 2) Statutory Rape/Sodomy, 3) Fail Register Sex Offender, 4) Dist/Receipt Child Porn, and 5) Sexual Abuse.
3. There are 6,296 carry in cases, 3,345 new cases, 10,931 active cases, 2,993 disposed cases, and 6,718 carry out cases.
4. There is a total 105 participating agencies at the end of FY13.
5. During the reporting period there were 2,986 warrants.
6. There were a total of 284 computer crime prevention program & presentations attended in FY13.
7. In the reporting period there were a total of 1,282 subpoenas.
8. In addition there were 3,053 cases that involved forensic lab and 1,585 cases that involved cell phone exams.

The following is the list of other law enforcement projects funded by the JAG or State Recovery-JAG Program:

Jackson County Drug Abatement Response Team (DART): This project continued support to the DART, a multi-jurisdictional initiative to identify and shut down drug houses and street level narcotics operations in thirteen municipal jurisdictions in Jackson County. The goal of this program was to eliminate illegal drug activity in the Jackson County community by coordinating and utilizing several sources. Through these efforts, the quality of life in the target area was restored and protected. Suspected drug activity could be anonymously reported to DART team members who then communicated the information to law enforcement for investigation. DART also coordinated street level investigations, buy / bust and reverse sting operations, property fire and housing code inspections of suspected drug houses, and notification of drug activity and its consequences to property owners. Property owner seminars, community presentations, and citizen training given on recognition of drug activities were provided by DART team members.

Report of Success: DART was instrumental in 97 criminal cases filed against individuals for possessing precursor chemicals, solvents, or solutions with intent to manufacture methamphetamine. DART closed down 36 methamphetamine labs. Owners were sent 159 notice letters that their property was being used for methamphetamine production and 16 evictions were completed. Another 21 evictions were pending. Following 46 fires and housing inspections made in the DART posted properties, 2 properties were vacated. In the DART area, 14 potential nuisance cases were filed. Over 5,106 residents had contact with the DART Coordinator, Methamphetamine Prosecutor, and Community Prosecutors. DART with other law enforcement agencies successfully served 66 search warrants and 46 arrests were made. In addition, law enforcement made 17 buy/busts that resulted in 22 arrests.

The DART team members recognized the importance of the service being provided to the community and how teamwork fostered their efforts to efficiently rid their neighborhoods of drug activity. When patrol officers shared information with the DART team, there was a broader view of the drug problems in the city. DART's database collected from police reports and their contacts is extremely helpful and attorneys used this database to assist in tracking witnesses and victims for various trials. Moreover, these data were used by community groups to show what houses were posted by DART and what impact DART has had in their community.

The DART Coordinator required several property owners to schedule a meeting with the DART Coordinator after their numerous weekly inspections. When the DART team arrived at a property for an inspection, the DART investigator and the DART coordinator were then prepared to discuss the issues with those present at the property at the time of the inspection. The DART Coordinator and Investigator attended numerous neighborhood social activities, meetings, and speaking engagements. This visibility to these neighborhoods where crime has been confirmed with drug activity was critical. The continued success of DART is greatly attributed to the wide range of resources that make up the DART team.

The continued success of DART is greatly attributed to community residents who are increasingly growing intolerant of drug activity in their neighborhood. Increased phone calls from community members regarding trespassing issues in posted DART properties are strong evidence of their growing intolerance to this illegal activity.

Missouri State Highway Patrol - Rural Crimes Investigative Unit: This project supports Missouri State Highway Patrol with the employment of ten criminal investigators and two civilian criminal intelligence analysts. The goal of this program is to maximize statewide coverage by dispersing criminal investigators in six regional geographic areas and centrally locating unit's

crime analysts in Jefferson City. This project is to investigate rural crime incidents and to provide assistance to other law enforcement agencies with their investigations of these crimes. This goal will be achieved by completing two objectives 1) Increase personnel assigned to the rural crimes investigation unit by five percent; and 2) Increase the number investigations in fiscal year 12 as compared to prior fiscal year.

Report of Success: The MSHP RCIU has recovered \$170,550 in stolen property, investigated 101 incidents, and arrested 14 individuals. Since the RCIU was formed in 2009 it has recovered \$5,849,399.57 in stolen property and investigated 1270 incidents.

Missouri State Highway Patrol - State Helicopter Project: This project supports Missouri State Highway Patrol's purchase of a new helicopter and helicopter flight simulator to train pilots for normal and emergency situations. This helicopter will be deployed to situations throughout the state and the flight simulator will provide training services to the MSHP and other law enforcement agencies. The goal of this program is to increase the Missouri State Highway Patrol's capability to provide dedicated airborne assets to public safety incidents that threaten life, welfare, and property in Missouri. This goal will be achieved by completing three objectives 1) Provide search and rescue missions upon request within three months of acquisition of helicopter; 2) Enhance citizens and officers safety by providing helicopter pilots' use of live video downlinks and moving maps; 3) Provide helicopter training to law enforcement agencies to ensure pilot safety.

Report of Success: The MSHP Aircraft Division purchased a Bell 407 helicopter with the guidance through the Office of Administration. Three pilots were trained to use the aircraft. There were two goals MSHP wanted to achieve with the purchase of the helicopter. Goal one within three months of a helicopter acquisition, successfully provide search and rescue missions upon request. This goal was met by training three pilots to use the helicopter safely along with the correct equipment being installed to carry out their missions. Goal two enhance the capability of pilots in the state, with minimal risk to operational equipment, through helicopter simulation training in normal and emergency procedures in helicopter operation. This goal was met by the purchase of the helicopter simulator. MSHP pilots have trained for 25 hours with the helicopter simulator.

The following is a summary of equipment funded by the LLEG program:

- Vehicles = 16
- Light Bars = 182
- Sirens/Siren Boxes/Speakers = 30
- Flashlights = 179
- Ballistic Vests = 148
- Protective Clothing (Gloves, Safety Vests, Jackets, Raincoats) = 290
- In-Car Cameras = 2
- Radio/Repeaters = 109
- Cages/Partitions = 52
- Trauma Kits = 19
- Other (e.g. Handcuffs, Leg irons, Surveillance Systems, Gun Racks etc.) = 453

PURPOSE AREA: PROSECUTION AND COURT

Number of Sub-grants: 1

Federal Funds Awarded: \$29,850.92

Federal Funds Expended: \$28,059.53

PROBLEM STATEMENT

The U.S. Department of Human Services reported 1,009,904 children were victimized in 2006. Of these victimized children, 66.3 percent experienced neglect (including medical neglect), 16.0 percent were physically abused, 8.8 percent were sexually abused, 6.6 percent were emotionally or psychologically maltreated, and 16.3 percent were victimized by another means or unknown. A recent survey conducted by the Crimes Against Children Research Center (CCRC) indicates a much greater proportion of children are physically assaulted. According to CCRC researchers just more than half of youth (53%) experienced a physical assault. The highest rate of physical assault victimization occurred during between ages six and 12. In addition, the CCRC survey indicated 8.2% of experienced sexual victimization, including sexual assault (3.2%) and attempted or completed rape (2.2%).

In 2008, 50,565 reports of child abuse or neglect were received by the Missouri Department of Social Services, Children's Division. Homicide was listed as the death certificate manner of death for 59 Missouri children in 2007 by Department of Social Services.

Citing *Crime in Missouri*, in 2011, a total of 40,613 domestic violence incidents were reported by Missouri law enforcement agencies. Of these incidents, the majority involved spouses (19.3%), persons not married but residing together (25.5%), or blood relatives (17.9%). In 2011, a total of 71 homicides were reported in Missouri that were related to domestic violence. Of these homicides, 42.2% involved a female family member including wives, mothers, daughters, ex-wives, common-law wives or girl friends. Another 15.5% involved husbands, boyfriends, and sons. Husband/wife relationships accounted for 18.3% of all 2011 domestic violence related homicides.

The consequences of domestic violence are far-reaching not only for families but for society as a whole. The U.S. Department of Justice has estimated that during their lifetime, one out of every six American women will experience violence by an intimate partner. Adults in abusive homes have a greater chance of developing alcohol, drugs, gambling, or relational problems. It has been suggested that children growing up in abusive households may develop problems with alcohol and drugs. These children also may become violators to their children when they become parents. The 2006 National Violence Against Women Survey found that more than 300,000 woman are estimated to be victims of sexual abuse every year in the United States.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Programs were sought that addressed the response of the Missouri criminal justice system to domestic / family violence in the State. These programs included law enforcement, intervention, and prosecution for domestic violence issues as they interfaced with the criminal justice system, regardless of where in or external to the system the program is based. Emphasis was placed on programs for victims of child sexual abuse and crimes against the elderly. Prosecution and court programs will be sought that demonstrate new and different approaches to the enforcement, prosecution, and adjudication of violent crime offenses. By encouraging applicants to develop new strategies and methodologies for dealing with violent crime, domestic violence and child abuse crime problems, it is hoped that gaps and/or redundancy in coverage areas will be minimized or eliminated and the effectiveness of available resources will be maximized. The program will also encourage applicants to develop a strategic view that encompasses more than one aspect of the war on violence and addresses elements such as supervision, employment, community service, mental and medical treatment, and restitution.

GOALS, OBJECTIVES, ACTIVITIES & PERFORMANCE MEASURES (PM)

Goal 1: Improve effectiveness of criminal justice agencies response to violent crime through targeted enforcement, investigation, prevention, prosecution, and adjudication of specific criminal offenders.

Objective 1: Law enforcement agencies and prosecution offices collaboratively focus on high crime rate geographic areas.

PM: 1 - Identify high crime rate areas at local government level.

- 2 - Initiate, plan, and deploy criminal justice teams to enforce, prosecute, and share information regarding problem properties and offenders in identified high crime rate areas.
- 3 - Develop community involvement programs to inform and educate residents of high crime rate areas on crime prevention.
- 4 - Implement advocacy groups to encourage support for crime prevention, offender treatment, and witness programs.

Goal 2: Improving the criminal and juvenile justice system's response to domestic and family violence, including spouse abuse, child abuse, and abuse of the elderly

Objective 1: Increase the awareness and skill levels of professionals involved in the identification, investigation, and prosecution of domestic/family violence

- PM:
- 1 - Number of training sessions / seminars held.
 - 2 - Number of persons attending training.

Objective 2: Provide for additional trained, specialized investigators and prosecutors.

- PM:
- 1 – An increase in the number of trained domestic/family violence investigators.
 - 2 – An increase in the number of prosecutors dedicated to domestic/family violence cases.
 - 3 – An increase in the number of specialized units dealing with domestic/family violence

Objective 3: Enhance the investigative abilities of domestic/family violence investigators.

- PM:
- 1 – An increase in availability of evidence gathering equipment.
 - 2 – Increased availability of tools to assist in interviewing domestic/family violence victims
 - 3 – Availability of equipment for the presentation of evidence to prosecutors and courts.

Objective 4: Develop judicially accepted alternative domestic/family violence victim interview techniques.

- PM:
- 1 – Victim's exposure to repeated questioning by different investigators is minimized.
 - 2 – Investigators from different jurisdictions coordinate efforts.
 - 3 – Stronger court cases are realized.
 - 4 – Number of offenders that completed domestic/family violence education and/or treatment programs.

Goal 3: To develop and implement programs that enhance the response to crimes involving child abuse and neglect, including child sexual abuse.

Objective 1: Increase the awareness and skill levels of professionals involved in the identification, investigation, and prosecution of child abuse and neglect cases.

- PM:
- 1 – Number of training sessions/seminars held
 - 2 – Number of persons attending training.

Objective 2: Provide for additional trained, specialized investigators and prosecutors.

- PM:
- 1 – An increase in the number of trained child abuse/neglect investigators.
 - 2 – An increase in the number of prosecutors dedicated to child abuse and neglect cases.
 - 3 – An increase in the number of specialized units dealing with child abuse and neglect.

Objective 3: Enhance the investigative abilities of child abuse/neglect investigators.

- PM:
- 1 – An increase in availability of evidence gathering equipment.
 - 2 – Increased availability of tools to assist in interviewing child victims
 - 3 – Availability of equipment for the presentation of evidence to prosecutors and courts.

Objective 4: Develop judicially accepted alternative child victim interview techniques.

- PM: 1 – Victim’s exposure to repeated questioning by different investigators is minimized.
 2 – Investigators from different jurisdictions coordinate efforts.
 3 – Stronger court cases are realized.

PROGRAM ACTIVITIES/COMPONENTS

All projects funded through this program must:

- Maintain a time and activity sheet for personnel paid under the contract
- Submit monthly expenditure reports
- Submit quarterly progress reports
- Be required to submit evaluation data for measuring performance
- Submit a copy of the formal agreements for inter-disciplinary investigation teams.
- All projects funded from this program will receive at least one (1) monitoring contact

EVALUATION METHODS

Evaluations are based on quarterly reports submitted by grant recipients.

EVALUATION RESULTS (ER)

The following evaluation results were obtained from quarterly reports submitted by the program funded under this program area:

St. Louis City Circuit Attorney’s Office Domestic Violence Investigator: This project continued support of a misdemeanor domestic violence investigator to work with the St. Louis Attorney’s Office domestic violence attorney. The goal of this project was to increase community safety and reduce domestic violence in the City of St. Louis. This goal was to be achieved by two objectives: 1) Focus on misdemeanor domestic violence incidents through cooperative efforts of the Misdemeanor Domestic Violence (DV) Investigator and the Circuit Attorney Office Violent Unit; and 2) Focus efforts on enhancing misdemeanor domestic violence investigation, evidence collection, and trial preparation for prosecution.

Report of Success: The Misdemeanor Domestic Violence Investigator worked 250 cases and the Misdemeanor DV unit issued 163 new cases. The investigator acting as a liaison between the St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department and the Circuit Attorney’s Office interviewed police officers in preparation for trial on misdemeanor domestic violence cases. In addition, the investigator requested follow-up police, lab tests, investigations included photographs in 12 cases, crime scene reviews, witness interviews, medical releases were obtained, and additional evidence was collected.

Potential witnesses were located, served subpoenas, and interviewed for 222 pending misdemeanor domestic violence cases. The investigator served subpoenas for witnesses and victims in approximately four to five bench trials and ten to fifteen jury trials per week. The Misdemeanor DV Attorney currently has 120 cases pending trial dates. The Misdemeanor DV Investigator personally served subpoenas to 200 victims. An agreement is in place with the judges of the misdemeanor divisions that cases will be nolle prossed by the Circuit Attorney’s Office rather than be dismissed. The Misdemeanor DV Attorney prepared 250 cases during the grant period. Trial preparation assistance was provided for 250 cases. Of the prepared cases: 126 pleas, 11 bench trials, 6 jury trial, and 46 dismissed for failure to prosecute.

PURPOSE AREA: PREVENTION AND EDUCATION

Number of Sub-grants: 1

Federal Funds Awarded: \$155,902.68

Federal Funds Expended: \$155,902.68

PROBLEM STATEMENT

Clandestine production of methamphetamine is very dangerous because of the volatile, hazardous and toxic chemicals and processes involved. It not only poses risks to those involved in this illegal drug industry but also to law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency response officials who discover, collect evidence, and dispose of clandestine laboratory sites. In addition, environment and public exposed to these are negatively affected. The instability of chemicals used in methamphetamine can cause fires and explosions during its production as well during cleanup of dumpsites. Outdoor clandestine laboratories are typically located in rivers, fields, and forests causing extensive damage to the environment. Because of methamphetamine production doesn't require elaborate equipment; indoor clandestine laboratories are commonly found in private residences, hotels/motels, garages, and abandoned buildings. This can expose family members as well as unassociated public to toxic chemicals.

Evolving chemical processes to produce methamphetamine has possibly increased the hazards to all coming in contact with them. According to the National Drug and Intelligence Center (NDIC), five common production methods are used to make methamphetamine. Four of these involve chemical reduction ephedrine/pseudoephedrine but use different precursor chemicals. Common precursor chemicals include, but not limited to, hydriodic acid, red phosphorous, hypo-phosphorous acid, anhydrous ammonia, and mercuric acid. All of these are toxic and afford many health risks to those in contact.

In FY 2012, multi-jurisdictional drug task forces destroyed 1,709 clandestine methamphetamine laboratories. Although the number of seized clandestine laboratories suggests this illicit drug industry has decreased in recent years, it continues to be very problematic in Missouri.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Programs were sought that addressed the response of public safety and emergency service officials to clandestine methamphetamine laboratories. Applications were encouraged that addressed crime scene processing, site cleanup, and disposal of chemicals and equipment associated with these labs. Provision of supplies, training, and reference materials was available to Missouri law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency response officials to effectively equip them to safely process methamphetamine laboratories and construct collection stations for chemical disposal.

GOALS, OBJECTIVES, ACTIVITIES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES (PM)

Goal 1: Improve effectiveness of public safety and emergency service officials' response to processing of clandestine methamphetamine laboratories.

Objective 1: Law enforcement, fire service, state agencies collaboratively and appropriately respond to removal of methamphetamine laboratories.

- PM:
- 1 - Develop communication plans and jurisdictional procedures to address methods for responding to service calls involving methamphetamine laboratories.
 - 2 - Acquire proper supplies and equipment to effectively and safely clean methamphetamine laboratories.
 - 3 - Establish and maintain hazardous material collection/control sites within a reasonable distance of each task force's area of operations

Objective 2: Ensure first responders are adequately trained to initially process, collect criminal evidence, and quarantine discovered methamphetamine laboratories.

- PM:
- 1 - Develop and provide training materials to law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency service personnel.
 - 2 - Identify providers in service area
 - 3 - Attendance of personnel in training

PROGRAM ACTIVITIES/COMPONENTS

All projects funded through this program must:

- Maintain a time and activity sheet for personnel paid under the contract
- Submit monthly expenditure reports
- Submit quarterly progress reports
- Be monitored at least one (1) time to ensure compliance with guidelines
- Be required to submit evaluation data for measuring performance

EVALUATION METHODS

Evaluation reports were based on quarterly reports submitted by the sub-recipient.

EVALUATION RESULTS (ER)

The following evaluation results were obtained from quarterly reports submitted by all programs funded under this program area:

Missouri Department of Natural Resources Clandestine Drug Laboratory Collection Station: This continuing project supported the Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Emergency Response Section, Environmental Services Program to expand and enhance an existing project for responding to methamphetamine clandestine laboratory clean up requests. The goal of this project was to increase safety and reduce risk of injury to the staff, the public, and the environment exposed to clandestine laboratories. This goal was achieved by three objectives: 1) Provide proper supplies and reference material to Missouri law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency response officials; 2) Provide supplies for processing and disposal of clandestine drug lab materials to clandestine drug laboratory collection stations; and 3) Provide on-site responses to clandestine methamphetamine laboratory incidents, when requested by law enforcement, fire station, and other emergency officials.

Report of Success: The Missouri Department of Natural Resources' Environmental Emergency Response (EER) was afforded the purchases of proper supplies and reference materials to Missouri law enforcement, fire service and other emergency response officials to help them safely respond to clandestine methamphetamine lab incidents and perform their jobs with reduced risk of injury to themselves, the public, and the environment, supplies to process and dispose of clandestine drug lab materials from the clandestine drug laboratory collection stations (CDLCSs), and on-site response to clandestine methamphetamine lab incidents, if assistance was requested by law enforcement.

Part of the funding from this grant was used to purchase necessary personal protective equipment and supplies for safety, cleanup and air monitoring to help law enforcement and others respond safely and properly manage seized clandestine drug lab materials. The Department provided items such as sample bottles, vials, buckets with lids, air purifying respirator and cartridge, chemical protective coveralls, nitrile gloves, boot covers, trash bags, disposable pipettes, safety glasses, absorbent, duct tape, PH paper, Drager pumps and colorimetric tubes, and over-pack drums to law enforcement agencies. By providing these supplies, the Department helped ensure that these agencies could respond to clandestine methamphetamine lab incidents with reduced risk of injury to themselves, the public and the environment.

The Department established seventeen (17) CDLCSs throughout the State. These CDLCSs accepted drug lab material from various law enforcement and drug task force agencies. The Department provided supplies, operational oversight, and assistance to collection stations. The Department also provided assistance to the CDLCS personnel and helped with the processing of waste materials accepted at the collection stations, ensuring the completing of all required documentation. The Department used a tracking system to account for drug lab materials accepted at the collection stations. The following were processed: reused/recycled material (245 lbs), solid waste (2,040 lbs.), hazardous waste (814 lbs.), and hazardous substances (66 lbs.). The EER has staff available to respond on-site to clandestine drug labs and assist law enforcement, drug task forces, fire department, and other agencies within the State of Missouri with the proper management, cleanup and disposal of clandestine drug lab chemicals. From calendar year 2012, the Department estimates that there were 1,399 methamphetamine lab incidents.

PURPOSE AREA: DRUG TREATMENT

Number of Sub-grants: 1

Federal Funds Awarded: \$23,698.14

Federal Funds Expended: \$23,698.14

PROBLEM STATEMENT

There is ample documentation of the connection between substance abuse and crime. The impact substance-abusing offenders have on society, the criminal justice system, and themselves are significant. Most notably, the drug-involved offender typically commits many more crimes than the non-involved offenders. They are likely to commit hundreds of crimes including robberies and burglaries each year. We know that large numbers of criminal offenders are active abusers of illicit drugs and alcohol and that a relatively small number of drug involved offenders are responsible for a grossly disproportionate amount of crime. The need to focus on the development of effective strategies for addressing drug and alcohol abuse among juvenile and adult offenders is evident. The growing understanding of the relationship of substance abuse and crime has supported the need for comprehensive and coordinated substance abuse services at all points of the criminal justice system.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

A variety of effective programs, such as substance abuse counselors, drug treatment and intervention, and intensive supervision of juveniles have been implemented throughout the state. This is a comprehensive focus on substance abuse services at all levels and includes the following key components: Appropriate assessment and intervention, substance abuse education, a range of treatment modalities to meet offender need levels, after-care services, an emphasis on continuity of care, and an on-going concern for quality assurances. The primary focus of the Intensive Supervision Probation/Parole program will be to provide additional public corrections resources and improve the corrections systems.

GOALS, OBJECTIVES, ACTIVITIES & PERFORMANCE MEASURES (PM)

Goal 1: To address defendant's needs through effective case management, reduce drug use and recidivism, relieve pressures on non-drug caseloads and concentrate drug case expertise in one courtroom.

Objective 1: Court officials are provided training in alternative sentencing and drug court procedures.

PM: 1 - Attendance of personnel at training

Objective 2: Implementation of alternative sentencing and drug court procedures.

PM: 1 - Develop standard operating procedures for participant eligibility
2 - Develop methodology for participant tracking

Objective 3: Provide offender based education; job and life skills training that will help them become productive and drug-free citizens.

PM: 1 - Identify providers in service area
2 - Develop working relationship and implement memorandum of understanding with appropriate service providers
3 - Assemble baseline data on participants to allow for quantifiable success measurement

Goal 2: To develop, implement and provide prioritized substance abuse treatment services to include assessment education, treatment, interventions, modalities, after care, and support groups.

Objective 1: A research design component and implementation plan is necessary to provide an assessment of the problems and steps to be taken to address these problems.

PM: 1 - Provide steps taken to assess problems and develop implementation plan

Objective 2: To develop, as determined appropriate, treatment and intervention plans, drug education services, and self-help groups.

- PM: 1 - A copy of the policies and procedures will be provided
2 - Specialists will be hired to support treatment, education and group therapy programs
3 - Specialized training will be provided to support treatment, education, aftercare and group therapy programs

PROGRAM ACTIVITIES/COMPONENTS

All projects funded through this program must:

- Provide a need assessment
- Provide assessment instrument
- Provide a detailed action plan for the proposed program
- Provide reports to include recidivism rates of those completing program
- Provide reports including employment rates of those completing program
- Provide semi-annual and annual project progress reports
- Be site monitored to ensure compliance with guidelines
- Be required to submit evaluation data for measuring performance

EVALUATION METHODS

Evaluation methodology utilizes semi-annual and annual reports submitted by the sub-recipient.

EVALUATION RESULTS (ER)

The following evaluation results were obtained from quarterly reports submitted by the program funded under this program area:

Stone County Prosecuting Attorney's Office: This new project supports the Stone County Prosecuting Attorney's office response to offenders and multiple offenders of drug or alcohol related charges. The goal of this project is to address the gap in the current prosecution/treatment model for alcohol/drug offenders. This goal will be achieved by completing three objectives: 1) Provide a drug court to process serious drug offenders; 2) Enhance disposed drug offenders' probation through a combination of probation and parole; and 3) Provide monitoring and supervision through the prosecutor's office.

Report of Success: MOJO has met its goals by drug testing defendants and treatment requirements are being monitored along with helping defendants obtain drivers license and attend GED programs. The program has helped with 73 cases. More intense monitoring has taken place such as drug court as alternatives to revocation. The success of this program has been met by changing the life of the youth by helping them with their addiction to drug and alcohol.

PURPOSE AREA: PLANNING, EVALUATION, AND TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENT

Number of Sub-grants: 2

Federal Funds Awarded: \$4,368,605.61

Federal Funds Expended: \$1,297,226.11

PROBLEM STATEMENT

In today's society, criminal history records are becoming increasingly relied upon by the criminal justice system to make charge, release, and sentencing decisions. Records are also used as a tool when making decisions regarding licensing and employment purposes, including foster care, schoolteachers and bus drivers, hospital, nursing home, and home health care employees, and in transactions relating to the purchase of firearms. Local criminal justice agencies are required to report criminal history to the Missouri State Highway Patrol's Central Criminal History Records System (CHRS) repository. The paper system of reporting is quickly becoming obsolete and does not allow timely, accurate, and complete criminal histories. Local criminal justice agencies are unable to report in an adequate manner when they have to stretch their budgets and personnel to the limits just to get their core duties accomplished. In order to achieve complete, accurate, and timely criminal history records, cooperative efforts of all the components of the criminal justice system must be implemented.

Illicit drugs impact on society is manifested in many ways. The criminal justice system is negatively impacted by the resources and efforts expended to control both illicit drug use and industries. These drugs also negatively affect the health of Missouri citizens, economic infrastructure, social fabric, and environment. No single data source or information system can directly measure illicit drugs adverse impact on these. The nature, extent, and temporal and geographic trends can only be accessed through analysis of many disparate data systems such as those of criminal laboratories, law enforcement, juvenile and adult courts, hospitals, treatment centers, and corrections.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Given that each component of the criminal justice system is responsible to a different authority (such as the circuit courts to the Office of State Courts Administrator, prosecutors and sheriffs to their constituencies and police to the mayor or city manager), no one agency can effectively support all elements of the criminal history system. This program is designed around a support structure to address each component. Through cooperative efforts, law enforcement, prosecutors, and courts will provide an integrated solution to improve the completeness, accuracy and timeliness of Missouri's criminal history records. The local criminal justice agencies will be provided with equipment, software and training for the automation and integration of systems for the improvement of the criminal history reporting capabilities. The implementation of law enforcement case management, prosecutor case management and courts case management systems will provide statewide access for users. Once local agencies are automated and linked to the state criminal record repository, the federal criminal files, state and federal wanted files and other databases become a substantial tool in fighting crime and protecting our citizens. A totally automated system is being developed where each agency with reporting responsibilities interacts directly with the criminal history system to provide the required information for the record event under their jurisdiction. The CHRS repository would then be responsible for coordinating this effort and controlling the quality and dissemination of the records. They would also be available to assist any element of the system that encounter problems and be responsible for training on an as needed basis.

The purpose of the Justice Assistance Grant Program is to assist states and units of local government in implementing specific programs that offer a high probability of enhancing and improving the efficiency and effectiveness of the criminal justice system. Special emphasis is placed on controlling violent and drug-related crime and serious offenders, and fostering multi-jurisdictional and multi-state efforts to support national drug-control priorities. This is achieved through analysis of the illicit drug problem in Missouri and maintaining several criminal justice data systems.

GOALS, OBJECTIVES, ACTIVITIES & PERFORMANCE MEASURES (PM)

Goal 1: Promote the timely collection of criminal history record information from all criminal justice agencies in Missouri and store these records at Missouri CHRS repository.

Objective 1: Develop a comprehensive information and training program to assist agencies in complying with mandatory criminal history records reporting requirements.

- PM: 1 - Representatives from the courts, law enforcement and prosecution will meet monthly to develop an automation plan.
2 - Training plans and curriculums of developed training programs.
3 - Number of sites where training and assistance is provided.

Objective 2: Provide equipment and software systems for automating criminal justice agencies.

- PM: 1 - Counties throughout the state will be scheduled for implementation of systems.
2 - Teams will install hardware and software and train criminal justice personnel based upon the implementation schedule.
3 - Number of counties automated.

Goal 2: Establish a series of policies, procedures, systems, and reporting recommendations to enable the State of Missouri to effectively manage the JAG Program by analyzing drug and violent crime environment in the State; assessing effectiveness of existing programs; and offering data and interpretive analysis support for development of new programs.

Objective 1: Ensure administration requirements of Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant are adequately met.

- PM: 1 - Develop a drug and violent crime strategy as required under the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant.
2 - Provide research services to Missouri DPS, criminal justice authorities, and other public officials.
3 - Develop and publish evaluation criteria and information systems for funded programs
4 - Provide statistical assistance in maintenance of UCR summary based information system

Goal 3: Provide training and support to criminal justice agencies with Missouri required crime reporting, including the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) System and Criminal History Records System (CHRS).

Objective 1: Operate and maintain statewide UCR System

- PM: 1 - Train Missouri law enforcement agencies with reporting requirements
2 - Conduct quality assurance reviews and audits
3 - Assist Missouri law enforcement agencies with reporting procedures

Objective 2: Support CHRS fingerprint and case disposition requirements

- PM: 1 - Train Missouri law enforcement, prosecutor, and court agencies on correct record processing and procedures.
2 - Conduct seminars and attend conferences to support JAG
3 - Employ CHRS quality control procedures and programs to monitor CHRS fingerprint and case disposition reporting compliance

PROGRAM ACTIVITIES/COMPONENTS

All projects funded through this program must:

- Identify the various criminal justice agencies providing input to the CHRS
- Provide a list of counties that are automated
- Provide a list of counties where training and assistance is provided
- Provide bid specifications on equipment
- Provide reports showing increase of criminal records being reported
- Submit monthly report of expenditures
- Conduct required crime and drug analyses and publish reports
- Submit quarterly progress reports
- Be monitored at least one (1) time during the contract period

EVALUATION METHODS

Evaluations are based on quarterly reports submitted by sub-recipients.

EVALUATION RESULTS (ER)

The following evaluation results were obtained from quarterly reports submitted by the program funded under this program area:

Missouri Department of Corrections - Enhancing Safety in DAI: This project is intended for the acquisition of UHF and VHF base stations, hand-held radios, and desktop consoles for 14 institutions to replace and improve outdated radio systems. The goals of this project include: 1) to improve institutional security, 2) ensure compliance with FCC narrowband regulations, and 3) improve public safety by allowing radio interoperability with outside law enforcement agencies. These goals will be achieved by the following objectives: 1) ensuring the department institutions have radio systems compliant with FCC mandates, 2) decreasing incidents of equipment failure, 3) decreasing dead spots in identified institutions, and 4) ensuring staff have the ability to communicate with other institutions and other law enforcement agencies.

Report of Success: The radio equipment was delivered to the sites that require towers as of 2012. The radio towers have been received by the vendor and will be delivered to the site at the time of the final installation. The radio installation was complete at KCCRC. The tower foundation has been completed at WERDCC and NECC. A&W crews are in the process of going to the facilities that have received their radios to complete the radio programming. It is anticipated the radio systems at the facilities requiring towers will be installed and operational by late October. New radio systems have allowed them to meet another goal of becoming compliant with the 2013 FCC Narrowband Requirements.

Missouri State Highway Patrol - Administrative Data Analysis And Problem Identification: This continuing project involves establishing a series of policies, procedures, systems, and reporting recommendations. The State of Missouri will effectively manage the JAG and Recovery-JAG Programs by analyzing drug and violent crime environments in the State; assessing effectiveness of existing programs; and offering data and interpretive analysis support for development of new programs. The MSHP, coordinating their activities with DPS's CJ/LE Program staff, will complete the following project goals: 1) Provide base-line information to properly assess Missouri's illicit drug and violent crime problems; 2) Support successful administration of Missouri's JAG and Recovery-JAG Programs by providing needed research, evaluation, and data processing services; 3) Develop and implement Missouri's UCR data collection application and output report application; and 4) Enhance capabilities of Missouri's criminal justice information systems in supporting statewide illicit drug and violent crime problems and grant administration.

Report of Success: Two reports, *Missouri Statewide Drug and Violent Crime Strategy* and *Analysis of Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces* were developed during this grant period. The publication *Missouri Statewide Drug and Violent Crime Strategy* was completed and provided to DPS CJ/LE staff in October, 2012. Analyses were completed from data gathered from Missouri state agencies such as Department of Health and Senior Services and Department of Mental Health. Data analyses included treatment and hospital admissions, juvenile referrals, prison incarcerations, criminal arrests, intravenous AIDS/HIV cases, multi-jurisdictional drug task force seizures, crime laboratory cases, and MJTF survey responses and placed in the *Missouri Statewide Drug and Violent Crime Strategy*. An Internet based survey instrument was designed and implemented to capture drug task force officials' perceptions of the drug industry in Missouri. The survey was distributed to the points of contacts of twenty-seven multi-jurisdictional drug task forces. Analyses of survey responses were completed and results were incorporated into the 2013 edition of the publication entitled *Missouri Statewide Drug and Violent Crime Strategy*.

The publication entitled *Justice Assistance Grant and Recovery Act - JAG Evaluation Plan 2012-2013* was published and provided to DPS CJ/LE in September 2012. In this publication, designs for programs funded in five purpose areas were constructed including prosecution/court programs (1 grants), prevention/education programs (1 grant), drug treatment programs (1 grant), planning, evaluation and technology improvement programs (2 grant), law enforcement programs (28 grants), and crime laboratories (13 grants). Each program design included a set of criteria that DPS used to determine success of JAG funded programs.

The *FY 2012 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program Annual Report* was completed and provided to DPS CJ/LE staff in November 2012. Final reports of success were published in the *Annual Report* for FY12 funded multi-jurisdictional drug task forces and programs addressing law enforcement and drug task forces, prosecution and court, prevention and education, crime laboratories, internet cyber crime, and planning/evaluation/technology. In addition, summaries were published of quarterly reports submitted by multi-jurisdictional drug task forces and crime laboratories. A strategic plan for implementing FY13 JAG funded programs was included in the *Annual Report* with evaluation designs and performance measures.

Progress reports and quality control analysis were received and completed for FY12 fourth quarter and FY13 first through third quarter multi-jurisdictional drug task forces, FY14 first through fourth quarter Crime Laboratories and Internet Cyber Crime Grant (ICCG). Progress reports were processed and data entered to their respective databases, and results of these analyzes were provided to DPS CJ/LE program staff.

The Microsoft Office Access drug task force and crime laboratory automated information system databases have been converted to Sequel database. Work is currently in progress to rewrite all drug task force and crime lab SAS statistical reports to Webfocus to improve the efficiency of SAC's statistical reports required for the ADAP grant.

III. FY14 EVALUATION & ACTIVITIES

EVALUATION DESIGN

The JAG program provides criminal justice authorities with substantial support in their endeavors to address Missouri's illicit drug and violent crime problems. Program evaluation is an essential CJ/LE Program responsibility required by its enabling legislation. To meet this responsibility, BJA has provided states with guidelines, technical training, and support for assessing these projects. In Missouri, the DPS has contracted with the Missouri State Highway Patrol (MSHP), Statistical Analysis Center (SAC) to administer the evaluation component of the JAG Program.

The following is a description of the 2013/2014 JAG program evaluation designs developed by SAC and approved by DPS. These evaluations are mostly administrative or process in nature.

Law Enforcement Programs

The following programs are funded from the JAG Program funding opportunity for the 2013/2014 fiscal year:

Jackson County - Drug Abatement Response Team (DART): This project continues support to DART, a multi-jurisdictional initiative to identify and shut down drug houses and street level narcotics operations in thirteen municipal jurisdictions in Jackson County. DART provides an interagency mechanism through which residents in Jackson County, Missouri, can report illegal narcotics activity within their respective communities. The goal of this program is to eliminate illegal drug activity in the Jackson County community by coordinating and utilizing several sources. Through these efforts, the quality of life in the target area is restored and protected. Suspected drug activity can be anonymously reported to DART members who then communicate the information to law enforcement for investigation. DART also coordinates street level investigations, buy / bust and reverse sting operations, property fire and housing code inspections of suspected drug houses, and notification of drug activity and its consequences to property owners. Property owner seminars, community presentations, and citizen training given on recognition of drug activities are provided by DART members.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management and support services employed to implement the project
- Number of citizen reports of drug activity received by DART
- Number of drug houses and drug distribution operations closed
- Number of property owners trained on drug activity recognition
- Number of buy / bust / reverse sting operations coordinated with Patrol officers, community police, and prosecutors
- Number of property fire hazard and building code inspections completed, and number of notifications of drug activity made to property owners
- Number of community organizations given drug awareness presentations or training
- Other major work efforts and activities performed under auspices of this project

The grantee is required to submit quarterly Narrative Status Reports on this project. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period.

Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces:

1. Audrain County - East Central Drug Task Force (ECDTF)
2. Bates County - Community Narcotics Enforcement Team (CNET)
3. Board of Police Commissioners - Kansas City Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force
4. Bridgeton City - Municipal Enforcement Group Against Drug Abuse (MEGADA)
5. Brookfield City - North Missouri (NOMO) Drug Task Force
6. Buchanan County Drug Strike Force
7. Camden County - Lake Area Narcotics Enforcement Group (LANEG)
8. Cole County - Mid-Missouri Unified Strike Team and Narcotics Group (MUSTANG)

9. Farmington City - Mineral Area Drug Task Force (MADTF)
10. Franklin County Narcotics Enforcement Unit
11. Gladstone City - Clay County Drug Task Force
12. Greene County - Combined Ozarks Multi-Jurisdictional Enforcement Team (COMET)
13. Grundy County - NITRO Task Force
14. Jackson County Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force
15. Jasper County Drug Task Force (JCDTF)
16. Jefferson County Municipal Enforcement Group (JCMEG)
17. Lafayette County Narcotics Unit
18. Marion City - Northeast Missouri (NEMO) Narcotics Task Force
19. McDonald County - Southwest Missouri (SWMO) Drug Task Force
20. Morgan County - Mid-Missouri (Mid-MO) Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Force
21. Platte County Multi-Jurisdictional Enforcement Group (PCMEG)
22. Poplar Bluff City - Southeast Missouri (SEMO) Drug Task Force
23. St. Charles County Regional Drug Task Force (SCCRDTF)
24. St. Louis City - Multi-Jurisdictional Undercover Drug Program
25. St. Louis County - Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Force
26. West Plains City - South Central Drug Task Force

The above grantees are required to submit quarterly Drug Task Force Status Reports on these projects. The report format is as follows:

Drug Task Force (DTF) Quarterly Status Report:

Organization					
The following question pertains to the current reporting period.					
Number of agencies within the task force's direct service area during the reporting period	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
	City	County	State	Federal	
The following question pertains to the current reporting period and/or continuously from previous reporting periods of the current grant.					
Number of agencies signing a MOU with the task force	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
	City	County	State	Federal	
The following question pertains to the current reporting period and/or continuously from previous reporting periods of the current grant.					
Number of agencies providing resources (personnel, currency, and/or direct equipment) to the task force	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
	City	County	State	Federal	
The following question pertains to the current reporting period and/or continuously from previous reporting periods of the current grant.					
Number of agencies not providing resources (personnel, currency, and/or direct equipment) to the task force	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
	City	County	State	Federal	

Employees					
Identify the number of employees directly assigned to the task force during the reporting period. Count each individual only once.					
And then of the identified employees, identify the number of employees detached to a federal task force.					
Row	Full-Time Sworn	Full-Time Non-Sworn	Part-Time Sworn	Part-Time Non-Sworn	Detached to Federal Task Force
Assigned from National Guard	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Funded by COPS	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Funded by DPS Narcotic Grants	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Funded by HIDTA	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Loaned to Task Force	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Funded by Other Source	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Employee Breakdown - Funded by Other Source	
If you identified "funded by other source" in the above section, describe the source of funding relative to each identified position.	
<div><div></div></div>	

Case Activity	
A case is initiated when a suspect is identified resulting in an investigative case file. An investigation may include more than one suspect, and in this event, each identified suspect shall constitute a case.	
Do not count tips and intelligence information for which follow-up law enforcement action was not initiated.	
Number of new cases initiated during the reporting period	<input type="text"/>
Number of assists in cases initiated by other law enforcement agencies during the reporting period	<input type="text"/>

Arrest Activity	
An arrest is considered drug-related if the case was initiated as a result of a drug investigation.	
Non-drug related arrests can be provided in the "Narrative" section at the bottom of the report.	
Number of individuals arrested for drug-related charges during reporting period	<input type="text"/>
Of the number of drug-related arrests, how many were for federal indictment?	<input type="text"/>
Of the number of drug-related arrests, how many were for state charges?	<input type="text"/>
Of the number of drug-related arrests, how many were for ordinance violations?	<input type="text"/>

Drug Buys, Reverse Drug Buys, Free Samples, and Informant Expenses

Number of drug buys made during the reporting period

Amount spent on drug buys during the reporting period \$0.00

Number of reverse drug buys made during the reporting period

Amount of cash received/seized from reverse drug buys during the reporting period \$0.00

Number of free samples received during the reporting period

Dollar value (estimate) of drugs received from free samples during the reporting period, based on local street value at time received \$0.00

Amount expended on informants during the reporting period \$0.00

Drug Buys and Free Sample Breakdown

Based on the numbers identified above in the "Drug Buys, Reverse Drug Buys, Free Samples, and Informant Expenses" section, identify the quantity of drugs acquired through drug buys and free samples during the reporting period.

Amounts should be reported in the measurement identified for each drug type and based on the suspected drug type; do not wait for scientific lab examination results.

Quantities can be entered with up to 4 decimal places.

Cocaine-Crack (grams) 0

Cocaine-Powder (grams) 0

Ecstasy/MDMA (grams) 0

Hallucinogens - LSD (doses) 0

Hallucinogens - PCP (grams) 0

Heroin (grams) 0

Marijuana (grams) 0

Methamphetamine (grams) 0

Prescription Pills (doses/pills) 0

Pseudoephedrine/Ephedrine (grams) 0

Synthetics (grams) 0

Other (grams) 0

List the type(s) of prescription pills and its relative quantity.

List the type(s) of "other" drug and its relative quantity.

Search Warrants

Number of search warrants applied for during the reporting period

Number of search warrants served during the reporting period

Of the search warrants served during the reporting period, how many resulted in drug and/or paraphernalia seizures?

Number of consent searches conducted during the reporting period

Marijuana Eradicated

Number of indoor cultivated plants eradicated during the reporting period

Number of outdoor cultivated plants eradicated during the reporting period

Methamphetamine Labs

A meth lab includes lab seizures, chemical/glassware/equipment seizures, and dumpsites and is supported by the completion of the "National Clandestine Laboratory Seizure Report" (DEA Form 612 formerly EPIC Form 143). Labs not supported by the completion of this form should not be included in the count.

Number of meth labs seized during the reporting period

Drug Seizure Value

Identify the value of drug seized, whether through interdiction (parcel or vehicle) methods or through non-interdiction methods, as a result of search warrants, consent searches, and arrests. Do not include seizures from drug buys and free samples.

Estimated dollar value of drugs seized through interdiction methods, based on local street cost, during the reporting period

\$0.00

Estimated dollar value of drugs seized through non-interdiction methods, based on local street cost, during the reporting period

\$0.00

Drug Seizure Breakdown

Based on the amounts identified above in the "Drug Seizure Value" section, identify the types of drugs seized, whether through interdiction (parcel or vehicle) methods or non-interdiction methods, as a result of search warrants, consent searches, and arrests. Do not include seizures from drug buys, reverse drug buys, and free samples.

Report amounts in the identified measurement based on the gross amount at the time of seizure.

Quantities can be entered with up to 4 decimal places.

Row	Interdiction Seizure Method	Non-Interdiction Seizure Method
Cocaine-Crack (grams)	0	0
Cocaine-Powder (grams)	0	0
Ecstasy/MDMA (grams)	0	0
Hallucinogens - LSD (doses)	0	0
Hallucinogens - PCP (grams)	0	0
Heroin (grams)	0	0
Marijuana (grams)	0	0
Methamphetamine (grams)	0	0
Prescription Pills (doses/pills)	0	0
Psilocybin (grams)	0	0
Pseudoephedrine/Ephedrine (grams)	0	0
Synthetics (grams)	0	0
Other (grams)	0	0

Drug Seizure Breakdown - Other Drugs

If you identified "other" drugs seized as a result of a search warrant, consent search, or arrest, list the drug type(s) and its relative quantity.

Education/Training Programs

Identify the number of drug-related education/training programs provided during the reporting period and the number of attendees to which such education/training programs were provided. If attendance at the program was not recorded and the number of attendees is unknown, provide an estimate.

Row	Number Provided	Number of Attendees
Businesses		
General Public/Civic Organizations		
Law Enforcement Agencies		
Schools		
Other		

Community Involvement

Community prevention programs include, but are not limited to, coalitions, prescription take-back events, neighborhood watch programs, and town hall meetings.

If applicable, describe the community prevention programs(s) for which the task force was involved during the reporting period and the level of involvement by the task force. The level of involvement should be based on whether the task force coordinated the program, assisted in the coordination of the program, or merely participated in the already coordinated program.

Was the task force involved in any community prevention programs during the reporting period?

☐ Yes ☐ No

If No, enter N/A. If Yes, identify the community prevention program(s) and describe the level of involvement.

Rehabilitation

Rehabilitation programs include, but are not limited to, drug court and treatment programs.

If applicable, describe the rehabilitation programs(s) for which the task force was involved during the reporting period and the level of involvement by the task force. The level of involvement should be based on whether the task force coordinated the program, assisted in the coordination of the program, or merely participated in the already coordinated program.

Was the task force involved in any rehabilitation programs during the reporting period?

☐ Yes ☐ No

If No, enter N/A. If Yes, identify the rehabilitation program(s) and describe the level of involvement.

Narrative

Describe any work activities and/or areas of interest to the task force project during the reporting period not reported in the above sections and/or provide any details necessary to explain responses to information reported in the above sections. In addition, if the task force project faced any obstacles during the reporting period that affected the activity of the task force, please provide those details.

Font Family Font Size **B** *I* U | [List Icons] | [Link Icon] | [Image Icon] | [Table Icon] | [Text Color Icon] | [Background Color Icon] | [Link Icon] | [Image Icon] | [Table Icon]

Path: Words:0

Prosecution and Court Programs

The following programs are funded from the JAG Program funding opportunity for the 2013/2014 fiscal year:

St. Louis City - Domestic and Sexual Abuse Investigation Project: This project continues support of a domestic and sexual abuse investigator to work with the St. Louis Attorney's Office. The investigator will continue to work with two primary Domestic Violence Attorneys and Victim Advocate Caseworkers. This project focuses on 1) personal service of victims by the investigator who will assure the sharing of resource information and available support thus encouraging participation and subsequently reducing the number of cases dismissed for failure to prosecute, and 2) enhanced investigation, evidence, and trial preparation for prosecution.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management, training, and services employed to support the project
- Number of domestic violence cases investigated by the St. Louis City Domestic and Sexual Abuse Investigator and prosecuted by the Circuit Attorney Office's Domestic Violence/Sex Crimes Unit
- Rate of change in domestic violence cases prosecuted compared to a like period prior to the grant project
- Number of non-domestic violence cases investigated and prosecuted by the domestic violence team
- Number of domestic violence victims provided information of support services
- Hours expended on domestic violence investigation, evidence collection, and trial preparation
- Other major work effort and activities performed under auspices of the project

The grantee is required to submit quarterly Narrative Status Reports on this project. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period.

Prevention and Education Programs

The following programs are funded from the JAG Program funding opportunity for the 2013/2014 fiscal year:

Missouri Department of Natural Resources - Clandestine Drug Laboratory Collection Station: This continuing project supports the Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Services Program in responding to methamphetamine clandestine laboratory clean-up requests. The goal of this project is to increase safety and reduce risk of injury to the staff, the public, and the environment exposed to clandestine laboratories. This goal will be achieved by completing three objectives: 1) Provide proper supplies and reference material to Missouri law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency response officials; 2) Provide supplies for processing and disposal of clandestine drug lab materials to clandestine drug laboratory collection stations; and 3) Provide on-site responses to clandestine methamphetamine laboratory incidents, when requested by law enforcement, fire station, and other emergency officials.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management, training, and services employed to support the project
- Amount and type of supplies purchased specifically to reduce methamphetamine laboratory related injuries of emergency responders
- Number of injury and non-injury related laboratory incidents responded to
- Amount and type of supplies purchased specifically for processing and disposal of clandestine drug laboratory materials from clandestine drug laboratory collection stations
- Number of requests for on-site assistance to clandestine methamphetamine laboratory incidents by type of requestor (law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency response officials)
- Number of on-site responses to requests for assistance to clandestine methamphetamine laboratory incidents, by type of requestor (law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency response officials)
- Other major work effort and activities performed under auspices of the project

The grantee is required to submit quarterly Narrative Status Reports on this project. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period.

Corrections and Community Corrections Programs

No projects are being funding under this purpose area during the 2013/2014 funding period.

Drug Treatment Programs

The following program is funded from the JAG Program funding opportunity for the 2013/2014 fiscal year:

Stone County Prosecuting Attorney - Drug/Alcohol Offender Program: This new project supports the Stone County Prosecuting Attorney's office response to offenders and multiple offenders of drug or alcohol related charges. The goal of this project is to address the gap in the current prosecution/treatment model for alcohol/drug offenders. This goal will be achieved by completing three objectives: 1) Provide a drug court to process serious drug offenders; 2) Enhance disposed drug offenders' probation through a combination of probation and parole; and 3) Provide monitoring and supervision through the prosecutor's office.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management, training, and services employed to support the project
- Timely implementation of Stone County drug court
- Number of drug / alcohol offenders participating in drug court
- Number of offenders successfully completing drug court
- Number of drug test given and percent of positive test results
- Number of cases monitored by prosecutor's office as part of this program
- Other major work efforts and activities performed under auspices of project

The grantee is required to submit quarterly Narrative Status Reports on this project. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period.

Planning, Evaluation, and Technology Improvement Programs

The following programs are funded from the JAG Program funding opportunity for the 2013/2014 fiscal year:

Missouri State Highway Patrol (MSHP) - Administrative Data Analysis & Problem Identification Program: This continuing project involves establishing a series of policies, procedures, systems, and reporting recommendations. The State of Missouri will effectively manage the JAG Program by analyzing drug and violent crime environments in the State; assessing effectiveness of existing programs; and offering data and interpretive analysis support for development of new programs. The MSHP, coordinating their activities with DPS's CJ/LE Program staff, will complete the following project goals: 1) Provide base-line information to properly assess Missouri's illicit drug and violent crime problems; 2) Support successful administration of Missouri's JAG Program by providing needed research, evaluation, and data processing services; 3) Develop and implement Missouri's UCR data collection application and output report application; and 4) Enhance capabilities of Missouri's criminal justice information systems in supporting statewide illicit drug and violent crime problems and grant administration.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management, training, and support services employed to implement the project.
- Assistance provided in successful development and/or modification of Missouri's drug and violent crime strategy required under the JAG Program including, but not limited to, conducting a statewide illicit drug and violent crime problem analysis and developing an annual grant report
- Number of research services provided to DPS, Missouri criminal justice authorities, and other public officials
- Assistance provided in development and implementation of evaluation criteria and information systems for programs supported under the JAG Program. Publication of a report describing all approved evaluation designs
- Technical assistance provided in maintenance of UCR summary-based information system input, file maintenance, and output software
- Technical assistance provided for UCR training and report requirements, quality assurance reviews/audits, and assistance to local agencies in reporting procedures
- Number of seminars and conferences attended in support of the JAG Program
- Other major work effort and activities performed under auspices of this project

The grantee is required to submit quarterly Narrative Status Reports on this project. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period.

Crime Victim and Witness Programs

No projects are being funding under this purpose area during the 2013/2014 funding period.

Attachment A

Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces FY13 Summary Report

TABLE 1
INVOLVEMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS/AGENCIES
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	QUARTER							
	QTR 1				QTR2			
	TOTAL LE AGENCIES IN TASK FORCE	PART TIME LE OFFICERS	FULL TIME LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE AGENCIES IN TASK FORCE	PART TIME LE OFFICERS	FULL TIME LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE OFFICERS
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE								
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP AGAINST DRUG ABUSE-BRIDGETON	3	0	3	3	3	0	3	3
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	6	0	12	12	6	0	12	12
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	9	0	5	5	9	0	5	5
COMBINED OZARKS MULTI JUR ENF TEAM-GREENE CO	39	0	12	12	39	0	12	12
JACKSON CO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	14	0	16	16	14	0	15	15
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	4	0	5	5	4	0	5	5
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.-JEFFERSON CO	7	0	7	7	7	0	7	7
KC MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	3	0	8	8	3	0	8	8
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	7	0	2	2	7	0	2	2
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	5	5	6	11	5	5	6	11
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	10	0	7	7	10	0	7	7
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	14	0	3	3	14	0	3	3
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	21	1	5	6	2	1	5	6

(Continued)

TABLE 1
INVOLVEMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS/AGENCIES
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	QUARTER							
	QTR3				QTR4			
	TOTAL LE AGENCIES IN TASK FORCE	PART TIME LE OFFICERS	FULL TIME LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE AGENCIES IN TASK FORCE	PART TIME LE OFFICERS	FULL TIME LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE OFFICERS
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE								
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP AGAINST DRUG ABUSE-BRIDGETON	3	0	3	3	3	0	3	3
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	6	0	12	12	6	0	12	12
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	10	0	5	5	16	1	5	6
COMBINED OZARKS MULTI JUR ENF TEAM-GREENE CO	39	1	9	10	39	1	9	10
JACKSON CO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	14	0	15	15	14	0	15	15
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	4	0	5	5	0	0	0	0
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.-JEFFERSON CO	7	0	7	7	3	0	7	7
KC MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	3	0	8	8	3	1	7	8
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	7	0	2	2	7	0	2	2
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	5	5	5	10	5	5	5	10
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	10	0	7	7	10	0	7	7
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	14	0	3	3	15	0	3	3
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	1	1	5	6	1	1	5	6

(Continued)

TABLE 1
INVOLVEMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS/AGENCIES
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	QUARTER							
	QTR 1				QTR2			
	TOTAL LE AGENCIES IN TASK FORCE	PART TIME LE OFFICERS	FULL TIME LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE AGENCIES IN TASK FORCE	PART TIME LE OFFICERS	FULL TIME LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE OFFICERS
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE								
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	8	0	6	6	8	0	6	6
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	2	0	4	4	3	0	3	3
PLATTE CO MULTI JUR ENF GRP	9	2	4	6	9	2	4	6
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	32	0	17	17	32	0	17	17
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	7	0	13	13	7	0	13	13
STL CO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	12	0	47	47	12	47	0	47
METRO MULTI JUR UNDR CVR DRUG PROG.-STL CITY	2	0	24	24	2	0	25	25
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	20	3	4	7	20	3	4	7
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST PLAINS CITY	31	0	12	12	31	0	12	12
EAST CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	6	0	3	3	6	0	3	3
MID-MO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	7	5	5	10	7	5	0	5
NITRO-GRUNDY TF	7	0	5	5	7	0	5	5
FRANKLIN UNION TF	7	12	7	19	7	12	7	19

(Continued)

TABLE 1
INVOLVEMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS/AGENCIES
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	QUARTER							
	QTR3				QTR4			
	TOTAL LE AGENCIES IN TASK FORCE	PART TIME LE OFFICERS	FULL TIME LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE AGENCIES IN TASK FORCE	PART TIME LE OFFICERS	FULL TIME LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE OFFICERS
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE								
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	8	0	6	6	8	0	6	6
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	3	0	3	3	2	3	0	3
PLATTE CO MULTI JUR ENF GRP	9	2	4	6	9	2	4	6
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	32	0	17	17	32	0	17	17
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	7	0	13	13	7	0	13	13
STL CO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	12	0	47	47	12	0	47	47
METRO MULTI JUR UNDR CVR DRUG PROG.-STL CITY	2	0	55	55	2	0	66	66
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	20	0	4	4	20	0	4	4
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST PLAINS CITY	31	0	12	12	13	0	12	12
EAST CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	6	0	3	3	6	0	3	3
MID-MO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	7	5	5	10	8	5	5	10
NITRO-GRUNDY TF	7	0	5	5	7	0	6	6
FRANKLIN UNION TF	6	0	7	7	6	0	7	7

(Continued)

TABLE 1
INVOLVEMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS/AGENCIES
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	QUARTER							
	QTR 1				QTR2			
	TOTAL LE AGENCIES IN TASK FORCE	PART TIME LE OFFICERS	FULL TIME LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE AGENCIES IN TASK FORCE	PART TIME LE OFFICERS	FULL TIME LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE OFFICERS
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE								
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF	5	2	3	5	5	2	3	5
STATEWIDE TOTAL	297	30	245	275	279	77	192	269

TABLE 1
INVOLVEMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS/AGENCIES
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	QUARTER							
	QTR3				QTR4			
	TOTAL LE AGENCIES IN TASK FORCE	PART TIME LE OFFICERS	FULL TIME LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE AGENCIES IN TASK FORCE	PART TIME LE OFFICERS	FULL TIME LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE OFFICERS
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE								
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF	4	2	2	4	4	1	2	3
STATEWIDE TOTAL	277	16	269	285	258	20	272	292

TABLE 2
PROCESSING STATUS OF DRUG CASES/INVESTIGATIONS
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	ACTIVE CARRY-IN CASES	INITATED NEW CASES	TOTAL ACTIVE CASES	CASES DISPOSED		CASES CARRIED OUT
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	%	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE						
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP AGAINST DRUG ABUSE-BRIDGETON	0	543	543	543	100.0	0
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	233	514	747	488	65.3	259
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	275	381	656	401	61.1	255
COMBINED OZARKS MULTI JUR ENF TEAM-GREENE CO	596	408	1,004	665	66.2	339
JACKSON CO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	543	116	659	54	8.2	605
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	153	285	438	249	56.8	189
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.-JEFFERSON CO	30	318	348	202	58.0	146
KC MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	358	358	358	100.0	0
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	0	82	82	82	100.0	0
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	176	506	682	380	55.7	302
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	9	230	239	227	95.0	12
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	14	146	160	85	53.1	75
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	168	197	365	69	18.9	296
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	199	207	406	118	29.1	288
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	11	70	81	81	100.0	0
PLATTE CO MULTI JUR ENF GRP	195	137	332	259	78.0	73
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	664	586	1,250	173	13.8	1,077
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	135	517	652	325	49.8	327
STL CO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	105	1,113	1,218	1,034	84.9	184

(Continued)

TABLE 2
PROCESSING STATUS OF DRUG CASES/INVESTIGATIONS
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	ACTIVE CARRY-IN CASES	INITATED NEW CASES	TOTAL ACTIVE CASES	CASES DISPOSED		CASES CARRIED OUT
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	%	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE						
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCVR DRUG PROG.-STL CITY	10	468	478	454	95.0	3
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	2,749	443	3,192	167	5.2	3,025
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST PLAINS CITY	1,696	360	2,056	539	26.2	1,517
EAST CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	127	259	386	135	35.0	251
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	107	448	555	515	92.8	40
NITRO-GRUNDY TF	42	20	62	16	25.8	46
FRANKLIN UNION TF	176	185	361	221	61.2	140
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF	24	101	125	79	63.2	46
STATEWIDE TOTAL	8,437	8,998	17,435	7,919	45.4	9,495

TABLE 3
OFFENSE STATUS OF PERSONS ARRESTED BY DRUG TASK FORCES
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	TOTAL ARRESTEES WITH ONE OR MORE DRUG CHARGES	
	FREQ	ROW %
DRUG TASK FORCE		
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP AGAINST DRUG ABUSE-BRIDGETON	343	100.0
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	288	100.0
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	344	100.0
COMBINED OZARKS MULTI JUR ENF TEAM-GREENE CO	497	100.0
JACKSON CO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	164	100.0
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	117	100.0
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.-JEFFERSON CO	424	100.0
KC MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	211	100.0
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	64	100.0
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	284	100.0
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	424	100.0
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	48	100.0
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	47	100.0
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	104	100.0
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	79	100.0
PLATTE CO MULTI JUR ENF GRP	89	100.0
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	278	100.0
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	303	100.0

(Continued)

TABLE 3
OFFENSE STATUS OF PERSONS ARRESTED BY DRUG TASK FORCES
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	TOTAL ARRESTEES WITH ONE OR MORE DRUG CHARGES	
	FREQ	ROW %
DRUG TASK FORCE		
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	525	100.0
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCLR DRUG PROG.-STL CITY	443	100.0
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	155	100.0
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST PLAINS CITY	77	100.0
EAST CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	221	100.0
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	444	100.0
NITRO-GRUNDY TF	38	100.0
FRANKLIN UNION TF	217	100.0
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF	87	100.0
STATEWIDE TOTAL	6315	100.0

TABLE 4
DRUG OFFENSE STATUS OF CHARGES IN TASK FORCE ARRESTS
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	POSSESSION DRUG CHARGES		SALE/MANUFCT DRUG CHARGES		TOTAL CHARGES	
	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %
DRUG TASK FORCE						
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP AGAINST DRUG ABUSE-BRIDGETON	370	96.4	14	3.6	384	100.0
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	239	83.6	47	16.4	286	100.0
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	338	62.6	202	37.4	540	100.0
COMBINED OZARKS MULTI JUR ENF TEAM-GREENE CO	313	57.4	232	42.6	545	100.0
JACKSON CO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	71	32.9	145	67.1	216	100.0
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	44	34.4	84	65.6	128	100.0
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.-JEFFERSON CO	343	51.5	323	48.5	666	100.0
KC MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	211	100.0	0	0.0	211	100.0
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	40	62.5	24	37.5	64	100.0
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	15	5.4	263	94.6	278	100.0
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	499	78.8	134	21.2	633	100.0
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	11	21.2	41	78.8	52	100.0
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	51	60.7	33	39.3	84	100.0
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	141	78.8	38	21.2	179	100.0
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	31	36.5	54	63.5	85	100.0
PLATTE CO MULTI JUR ENF GRP	91	63.6	52	36.4	143	100.0
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	186	66.9	92	33.1	278	100.0
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	319	59.5	217	40.5	536	100.0
STL CO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	167	33.7	329	66.3	496	100.0

(Continued)

TABLE 4
DRUG OFFENSE STATUS OF CHARGES IN TASK FORCE ARRESTS
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	POSSESSION DRUG CHARGES		SALE/MANUFCT DRUG CHARGES		TOTAL CHARGES	
	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %
DRUG TASK FORCE						
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCVR DRUG PROG.-STL CITY	602	79.5	155	20.5	757	100.0
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	103	65.2	55	34.8	158	100.0
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST PLAINS CITY	40	36.4	70	63.6	110	100.0
EAST CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	148	55.4	119	44.6	267	100.0
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	405	76.3	126	23.7	531	100.0
NITRO-GRUNDY TF	16	29.6	38	70.4	54	100.0
FRANKLIN UNION TF	47	22.0	167	78.0	214	100.0
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF	61	70.1	26	29.9	87	100.0
STATEWIDE TOTAL	4902	61.4	3080	38.6	7982	100.0

TABLE 5
STATEWIDE DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 6315
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -METH	1660	20.80	1660	20.80
POSS -METH	1513	18.96	3173	39.75
POSS -MARIJUANA	1185	14.85	4358	54.60
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	889	11.14	5247	65.74
SALE -MARIJUANA	696	8.72	5943	74.46
POSS -OTHER	490	6.14	6433	80.59
POSS -HEROIN	367	4.60	6800	85.19
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	343	4.30	7143	89.49
SALE -HEROIN	247	3.09	7390	92.58
POSS -CRACK	137	1.72	7527	94.30
SALE -CRACK	126	1.58	7653	95.88
SALE -COCAINE	114	1.43	7767	97.31
POSS -COCAINE	96	1.20	7863	98.51
SALE -ECSTASY	40	0.50	7903	99.01
POSS -ECSTASY	29	0.36	7932	99.37
POSS -PCP	21	0.26	7953	99.64
SALE -LSD	11	0.14	7964	99.77
POSS -ANHYDROUS AMMONIA	10	0.13	7974	99.90

TABLE 5
STATEWIDE DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 6315
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -LSD	7	0.09	7981	99.99
SALE -PCP	1	0.01	7982	100.00

TABLE 6
N COUNTY MUNICIPAL ENF GRP-BRIDGETON
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 343
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -MARIJUANA	119	30.99	119	30.99
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	100	26.04	219	57.03
POSS -HEROIN	66	17.19	285	74.22
POSS -CRACK	31	8.07	316	82.29
POSS -COCAINE	16	4.17	332	86.46
POSS -METH	15	3.91	347	90.36
POSS -OTHER	15	3.91	362	94.27
SALE -METH	12	3.13	374	97.40
POSS -ECSTASY	7	1.82	381	99.22
SALE -MARIJUANA	2	0.52	383	99.74
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	1	0.26	384	100.00

TABLE 7
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 288
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -METH	109	38.11	109	38.11
POSS -MARIJUANA	39	13.64	148	51.75
POSS -HEROIN	26	9.09	174	60.84
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	23	8.04	197	68.88
POSS -OTHER	21	7.34	218	76.22
SALE -METH	20	6.99	238	83.22
SALE -MARIJUANA	18	6.29	256	89.51
POSS -CRACK	11	3.85	267	93.36
POSS -COCAINE	7	2.45	274	95.80
SALE -HEROIN	5	1.75	279	97.55
POSS -LSD	3	1.05	282	98.60
POSS -ECSTASY	2	0.70	284	99.30
POSS -PCP	1	0.35	285	99.65
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	1	0.35	286	100.00

TABLE 8
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 344
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	147	27.22	147	27.22
SALE -METH	123	22.78	270	50.00
POSS -METH	83	15.37	353	65.37
POSS -OTHER	73	13.52	426	78.89
POSS -MARIJUANA	58	10.74	484	89.63
SALE -MARIJUANA	33	6.11	517	95.74
SALE -HEROIN	8	1.48	525	97.22
POSS -HEROIN	7	1.30	532	98.52
SALE -CRACK	3	0.56	535	99.07
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	2	0.37	537	99.44
SALE -ECSTASY	2	0.37	539	99.81
POSS -COCAINE	1	0.19	540	100.00

TABLE 9
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM-GREENE CO
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 497
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -METH	119	21.83	119	21.83
SALE -METH	118	21.65	237	43.49
POSS -MARIJUANA	92	16.88	329	60.37
SALE -MARIJUANA	62	11.38	391	71.74
POSS -OTHER	60	11.01	451	82.75
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	46	8.44	497	91.19
SALE -CRACK	22	4.04	519	95.23
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	14	2.57	533	97.80
POSS -COCAINE	6	1.10	539	98.90
POSS -ECSTASY	2	0.37	541	99.27
POSS -HEROIN	2	0.37	543	99.63
POSS -LSD	2	0.37	545	100.00

TABLE 10
JACKSON CO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 164
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -METH	91	42.13	91	42.13
SALE -COCAINE	39	18.06	130	60.19
POSS -METH	29	13.43	159	73.61
POSS -MARIJUANA	21	9.72	180	83.33
SALE -MARIJUANA	13	6.02	193	89.35
POSS -OTHER	9	4.17	202	93.52
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	9	4.17	211	97.69
POSS -COCAINE	3	1.39	214	99.07
POSS -CRACK	2	0.93	216	100.00

TABLE 11
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 117
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -METH	46	35.94	46	35.94
POSS -METH	22	17.19	68	53.13
POSS -MARIJUANA	13	10.16	81	63.28
SALE -MARIJUANA	9	7.03	90	70.31
POSS -OTHER	8	6.25	98	76.56
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	8	6.25	106	82.81
SALE -COCAINE	8	6.25	114	89.06
SALE -ECSTASY	5	3.91	119	92.97
POSS -CRACK	3	2.34	122	95.31
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	3	2.34	125	97.66
SALE -CRACK	2	1.56	127	99.22
POSS -COCAINE	1	0.78	128	100.00

TABLE 12
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.-JEFFERSON CO
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 424
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -METH	273	40.99	273	40.99
POSS -METH	149	22.37	422	63.36
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	96	14.41	518	77.78
POSS -MARIJUANA	37	5.56	555	83.33
SALE -MARIJUANA	33	4.95	588	88.29
POSS -HEROIN	32	4.80	620	93.09
POSS -OTHER	15	2.25	635	95.35
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	15	2.25	650	97.60
SALE -HEROIN	14	2.10	664	99.70
POSS -ANHYDROUS AMMONIA	1	0.15	665	99.85
SALE -COCAINE	1	0.15	666	100.00

TABLE 13
KC MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 211
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -MARIJUANA	145	68.72	145	68.72
POSS -METH	23	10.90	168	79.62
POSS -PCP	19	9.00	187	88.63
POSS -COCAINE	13	6.16	200	94.79
POSS -HEROIN	5	2.37	205	97.16
POSS -OTHER	5	2.37	210	99.53
POSS -ECSTASY	1	0.47	211	100.00

TABLE 14
LAFAYETTE CO NARC UNIT
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 64
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -METH	19	29.69	19	29.69
POSS -MARIJUANA	13	20.31	32	50.00
SALE -METH	13	20.31	45	70.31
POSS -OTHER	7	10.94	52	81.25
SALE -MARIJUANA	5	7.81	57	89.06
POSS -CRACK	4	6.25	61	95.31
SALE -CRACK	2	3.13	63	98.44
POSS -HEROIN	1	1.56	64	100.00

TABLE 15
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 284
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -MARIJUANA	106	38.13	106	38.13
SALE -METH	62	22.30	168	60.43
SALE -HEROIN	42	15.11	210	75.54
SALE -CRACK	22	7.91	232	83.45
POSS -OTHER	21	7.55	253	91.01
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	10	3.60	263	94.60
SALE -COCAINE	7	2.52	270	97.12
POSS -MARIJUANA	5	1.80	275	98.92
SALE -ECSTASY	3	1.08	278	100.00

TABLE 16
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 424
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	254	40.13	254	40.13
POSS -METH	184	29.07	438	69.19
SALE -METH	127	20.06	565	89.26
POSS -MARIJUANA	39	6.16	604	95.42
POSS -OTHER	9	1.42	613	96.84
POSS -HEROIN	8	1.26	621	98.10
POSS -ANHYDROUS AMMONIA	5	0.79	626	98.89
SALE -HEROIN	5	0.79	631	99.68
POSS -COCAINE	1	0.16	632	99.84
SALE -MARIJUANA	1	0.16	633	100.00

TABLE 17
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 48
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -METH	39	75.00	39	75.00
POSS -METH	9	17.31	48	92.31
POSS -MARIJUANA	2	3.85	50	96.15
SALE -MARIJUANA	2	3.85	52	100.00

TABLE 18
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 47
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -MARIJUANA	18	21.43	18	21.43
POSS -METH	17	20.24	35	41.67
POSS -MARIJUANA	16	19.05	51	60.71
POSS -OTHER	14	16.67	65	77.38
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	10	11.90	75	89.29
SALE -METH	7	8.33	82	97.62
POSS -COCAINE	1	1.19	83	98.81
POSS -CRACK	1	1.19	84	100.00

TABLE 19
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 104
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	48	26.82	48	26.82
POSS -METH	39	21.79	87	48.60
POSS -MARIJUANA	32	17.88	119	66.48
SALE -METH	30	16.76	149	83.24
POSS -HEROIN	9	5.03	158	88.27
POSS -COCAINE	7	3.91	165	92.18
POSS -OTHER	6	3.35	171	95.53
SALE -MARIJUANA	4	2.23	175	97.77
SALE -LSD	2	1.12	177	98.88
POSS -ANHYDROUS AMMONIA	1	0.56	178	99.44
POSS -CRACK	1	0.56	179	100.00

TABLE 20
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 79
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -CRACK	19	22.35	19	22.35
SALE -METH	17	20.00	36	42.35
SALE -MARIJUANA	13	15.29	49	57.65
POSS -METH	11	12.94	60	70.59
POSS -MARIJUANA	8	9.41	68	80.00
POSS -CRACK	6	7.06	74	87.06
POSS -OTHER	5	5.88	79	92.94
POSS -COCAINE	3	3.53	82	96.47
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	1	1.18	83	97.65
SALE -COCAINE	1	1.18	84	98.82
SALE -ECSTASY	1	1.18	85	100.00

TABLE 21
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 89
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	34	23.78	34	23.78
SALE -MARIJUANA	30	20.98	64	44.76
POSS -MARIJUANA	28	19.58	92	64.34
POSS -OTHER	23	16.08	115	80.42
POSS -METH	13	9.09	128	89.51
SALE -METH	7	4.90	135	94.41
SALE -COCAINE	5	3.50	140	97.90
SALE -CRACK	2	1.40	142	99.30
POSS -CRACK	1	0.70	143	100.00

TABLE 22
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 278
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -METH	94	33.81	94	33.81
POSS -MARIJUANA	49	17.63	143	51.44
SALE -METH	42	15.11	185	66.55
SALE -HEROIN	37	13.31	222	79.86
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	18	6.47	240	86.33
POSS -OTHER	14	5.04	254	91.37
SALE -MARIJUANA	9	3.24	263	94.60
POSS -HEROIN	6	2.16	269	96.76
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	5	1.80	274	98.56
POSS -COCAINE	4	1.44	278	100.00

TABLE 23
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 303
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	98	18.28	98	18.28
POSS -MARIJUANA	94	17.54	192	35.82
SALE -METH	90	16.79	282	52.61
POSS -METH	71	13.25	353	65.86
SALE -MARIJUANA	50	9.33	403	75.19
SALE -HEROIN	42	7.84	445	83.02
POSS -HEROIN	24	4.48	469	87.50
POSS -OTHER	16	2.99	485	90.49
SALE -CRACK	10	1.87	495	92.35
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	9	1.68	504	94.03
SALE -ECSTASY	9	1.68	513	95.71
SALE -COCAINE	8	1.49	521	97.20
POSS -COCAINE	4	0.75	525	97.95
POSS -ECSTASY	4	0.75	529	98.69
POSS -CRACK	3	0.56	532	99.25
SALE -LSD	2	0.37	534	99.63
POSS -ANHYDROUS AMMONIA	1	0.19	535	99.81
POSS -LSD	1	0.19	536	100.00

TABLE 24
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 525
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -METH	118	23.79	118	23.79
SALE -MARIJUANA	103	20.77	221	44.56
POSS -MARIJUANA	65	13.10	286	57.66
SALE -HEROIN	50	10.08	336	67.74
POSS -HEROIN	37	7.46	373	75.20
POSS -METH	23	4.64	396	79.84
SALE -COCAINE	23	4.64	419	84.48
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	18	3.63	437	88.10
SALE -CRACK	14	2.82	451	90.93
POSS -OTHER	11	2.22	462	93.15
SALE -ECSTASY	10	2.02	472	95.16
POSS -COCAINE	7	1.41	479	96.57
POSS -CRACK	7	1.41	486	97.98
SALE -LSD	7	1.41	493	99.40
POSS -ANHYDROUS AMMONIA	1	0.20	494	99.60
POSS -ECSTASY	1	0.20	495	99.80
SALE -PCP	1	0.20	496	100.00

TABLE 25
METRO MULTI JUR UNDR CVR DRUG PROG.-STL CITY
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 443
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -MARIJUANA	142	18.76	142	18.76
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	141	18.63	283	37.38
POSS -HEROIN	121	15.98	404	53.37
POSS -OTHER	91	12.02	495	65.39
SALE -MARIJUANA	88	11.62	583	77.01
POSS -CRACK	61	8.06	644	85.07
SALE -HEROIN	30	3.96	674	89.04
SALE -CRACK	19	2.51	693	91.55
POSS -COCAINE	14	1.85	707	93.39
POSS -METH	14	1.85	721	95.24
POSS -ECSTASY	12	1.59	733	96.83
SALE -ECSTASY	9	1.19	742	98.02
SALE -METH	5	0.66	747	98.68
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	4	0.53	751	99.21
SALE -COCAINE	4	0.53	755	99.74
POSS -LSD	1	0.13	756	99.87
POSS -PCP	1	0.13	757	100.00

TABLE 26
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 155
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	46	29.11	46	29.11
POSS -METH	39	24.68	85	53.80
SALE -METH	38	24.05	123	77.85
POSS -MARIJUANA	18	11.39	141	89.24
SALE -MARIJUANA	16	10.13	157	99.37
SALE -CRACK	1	0.63	158	100.00

TABLE 27
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST PLAINS CITY
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 77
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -METH	56	50.91	56	50.91
POSS -METH	24	21.82	80	72.73
POSS -OTHER	8	7.27	88	80.00
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	8	7.27	96	87.27
SALE -MARIJUANA	8	7.27	104	94.55
POSS -MARIJUANA	5	4.55	109	99.09
POSS -HEROIN	1	0.91	110	100.00

TABLE 28
E CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 221
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -METH	71	26.59	71	26.59
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	51	19.10	122	45.69
POSS -METH	43	16.10	165	61.80
POSS -OTHER	31	11.61	196	73.41
POSS -MARIJUANA	28	10.49	224	83.90
SALE -MARIJUANA	25	9.36	249	93.26
SALE -COCAINE	6	2.25	255	95.51
POSS -HEROIN	5	1.87	260	97.38
POSS -COCAINE	2	0.75	262	98.13
POSS -CRACK	2	0.75	264	98.88
POSS -ANHYDROUS AMMONIA	1	0.37	265	99.25
SALE -ECSTASY	1	0.37	266	99.63
SALE -HEROIN	1	0.37	267	100.00

TABLE 29
MID-MO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 444
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -METH	292	54.99	292	54.99
SALE -METH	108	20.34	400	75.33
POSS -MARIJUANA	83	15.63	483	90.96
POSS -OTHER	19	3.58	502	94.54
SALE -MARIJUANA	18	3.39	520	97.93
POSS -HEROIN	10	1.88	530	99.81
POSS -COCAINE	1	0.19	531	100.00

TABLE 30
NITRO-GRUNDY TASK FORCE
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 38
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -MARIJUANA	11	20.37	11	20.37
SALE -COCAINE	10	18.52	21	38.89
SALE -CRACK	10	18.52	31	57.41
SALE -METH	7	12.96	38	70.37
POSS -MARIJUANA	6	11.11	44	81.48
POSS -METH	4	7.41	48	88.89
POSS -COCAINE	3	5.56	51	94.44
POSS -CRACK	3	5.56	54	100.00

TABLE 31
FRANKLIN UNION DRUG TASK FORCE
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 217
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -METH	117	54.67	117	54.67
POSS -METH	27	12.62	144	67.29
SALE -MARIJUANA	16	7.48	160	74.77
SALE -HEROIN	13	6.07	173	80.84
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	12	5.61	185	86.45
POSS -MARIJUANA	11	5.14	196	91.59
POSS -HEROIN	7	3.27	203	94.86
POSS -OTHER	7	3.27	210	98.13
SALE -COCAINE	2	0.93	212	99.07
POSS -COCAINE	1	0.47	213	99.53
POSS -CRACK	1	0.47	214	100.00

TABLE 32
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES
TOTAL ARRESTS = 87
QTRS 1 - 4, 2013

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE				
DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -METH	41	47.13	41	47.13
SALE -METH	23	26.44	64	73.56
POSS -MARIJUANA	17	19.54	81	93.10
SALE -MARIJUANA	3	3.45	84	96.55
POSS -OTHER	2	2.30	86	98.85
POSS -COCAINE	1	1.15	87	100.00

TABLE 33
DRUGS OBTAINED BY PURCHASES AND FREE SAMPLES
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	NO. DRUG BUYS	NO. REVERSE DRUG BUYS	NO. FREE SAMPLES	VALUE OF DRUGS BOUGHT	VALUE OF REVERSE DRUGS BOUGHT	TOTAL VALUE OF BUYS	VALUE OF FREE SAMPLES
	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum
DRUG TASK FORCE							
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP AGAINST DRUG ABUSE-BRIDGETON	2	0	0	\$80	0	\$80	0
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	179	0	0	\$12,058	0	\$12,058	0
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	80	0	0	\$6,396	0	\$6,396	0
COMBINED OZARKS MULTI JUR ENF TEAM-GREENE CO	70	0	0	\$9,067	0	\$9,067	0
JACKSON CO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	268	0	0	\$190,447	0	\$190,447	0
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	52	0	2	\$27,000	0	\$27,000	\$400
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.-JEFFERSON CO	14	0	0	\$1,213	0	\$1,213	0
KC MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	11	0	0	\$1,095	0	\$1,095	0
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	318	1	0	\$36,084	\$32,500	\$68,584	0
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	29	0	0	\$5,180	0	\$5,180	0
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	14	0	0	\$891	0	\$891	0
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	106	0	0	\$23,723	0	\$23,723	0
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	34	0	2	\$3,610	0	\$3,610	\$20
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	44	0	0	\$3,745	0	\$3,745	0
PLATTE CO MULTI JUR ENF GRP	6	0	0	\$990	0	\$990	0

(Continued)

TABLE 33
DRUGS OBTAINED BY PURCHASES AND FREE SAMPLES
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	NO. DRUG BUYS	NO. REVERSE DRUG BUYS	NO. FREE SAMPLES	VALUE OF DRUGS BOUGHT	VALUE OF REVERSE DRUGS BOUGHT	TOTAL VALUE OF BUYS	VALUE OF FREE SAMPLES
	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum
DRUG TASK FORCE							
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	333	2	0	\$56,711	\$95	\$56,806	0
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	170	0	0	\$23,100	0	\$23,100	0
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	182	0	0	\$61,400	0	\$61,400	0
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCLR DRUG PROG.-STL CITY	103	0	1	\$5,025	0	\$5,025	\$50
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	59	0	1	\$6,361	0	\$6,361	\$40
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST PLAINS CITY	139	0	1	\$28,394	0	\$28,394	\$10
EAST CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	21	0	0	\$1,111	0	\$1,111	0
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NITRO-GRUNDY TF	15	0	0	\$31,140	0	\$31,140	0
FRANKLIN UNION TF	2	0	0	\$300	0	\$300	0
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF	42	0	0	\$7,020	0	\$7,020	0
STATEWIDE TOTAL	2293	3	7	\$542,141	\$32,595	\$574,736	\$520

TABLE 34
NUMBER OF ACTIVE INFORMANTS AND DOLLARS EXPENDED
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	NO. ACTIVE INFORMANTS	DOLLARS EXPENDED ON INFORMANTS
	Sum	Sum
DRUG TASK FORCE		
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP AGAINST DRUG ABUSE-BRIDGETON	0	\$0
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	39	\$15,652
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	66	\$12,324
COMBINED OZARKS MULTI JUR ENF TEAM-GREENE CO	8	\$776
JACKSON CO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	33	\$8,650
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	22	\$12,800
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.-JEFFERSON CO	0	\$0
KC MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	\$0
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	1	\$50
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	53	\$8,156
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	0	\$0
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	3	\$340
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	15	\$4,144
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	0	\$0
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	14	\$1,095
PLATTE CO MULTI JUR ENF GRP	0	\$0
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	115	\$16,780
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	10	\$1,120

(Continued)

TABLE 34
NUMBER OF ACTIVE INFORMANTS AND DOLLARS EXPENDED
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	NO. ACTIVE INFORMANTS	DOLLARS EXPENDED ON INFORMANTS
	Sum	Sum
DRUG TASK FORCE		
STL CO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	127	\$12,095
METRO MULTI JUR UNDR CVR DRUG PROG.-STL CITY	35	\$7,762
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	14	\$236
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST PLAINS CITY	33	\$9,822
EAST CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	13	\$875
MID-MO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	\$0
NITRO-GRUNDY TF	1	\$250
FRANKLIN UNION TF	9	\$2,500
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF	12	\$1,770
STATEWIDE TOTAL	623	\$117,197

TABLE 35
OUNCES OF DRUGS OBTAINED BY PURCHASES AND FREE SAMPLES
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	MARIJUANA	COCAINE	CRACK	METH	HEROIN	LSD	PCP
	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES
DRUG TASK FORCE							
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP AGAINST DRUG ABUSE-BRIDGETON	0	0	0.03	0	0	0	0
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	8.50	2.92	4.28	5.85	0.21	0	0
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	12.90	0	0	0.75	0.05	0	0
COMBINED OZARKS MULTI JUR ENF TEAM-GREENE CO	19.76	0.35	0.07	1.52	0	0	0
JACKSON CO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	165.66	27.27	9.31	196.68	0	0	1.76
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	24.00	0.17	4.82	10.00	0	0	0
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.-JEFFERSON CO	1.40	0	0	0.01	0.13	0	0
KC MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	0	0	0	0.44	0	0	0
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	65.96	1.18	3.81	6.08	0.99	0	0
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	1.22	0	0	0.98	0.16	0	0
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	0.49	0.03	0	0.36	0	0	0
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	23.24	0.18	0.13	4.30	0.19	0	0
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	2.28	1.39	3.51	0.10	0.10	0	0
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	4.67	0.18	0.48	3.73	0	0	0
PLATTE CO MULTI JUR ENF GRP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	44.21	1.73	1.80	10.52	1.01	0	0
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	22.15	0.58	0.31	0.43	0.84	0	0
STL CO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	254.30	110.28	0.69	2.67	9.00	8.80	0.06

(Continued)

TABLE 35
OUNCES OF DRUGS OBTAINED BY PURCHASES AND FREE SAMPLES
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	ECSTASY	PSUEDO/EPHEDRINE	ANHYDROUS AMMONIA	OTHER DRUGS
	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES
DRUG TASK FORCE				
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP AGAINST DRUG ABUSE-BRIDGETON	0	0	0	0
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	0	0	0	0
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	0	0	0	4.54
COMBINED OZARKS MULTI JUR ENF TEAM-GREENE CO	0	0	0	0.49
JACKSON CO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	33.95
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	0.52	0	0	0.52
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.-JEFFERSON CO	0	0	0	0.35
KC MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	0	0	0	0
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	0.98	0	0	1.69
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0.03
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0.92
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0.32
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	2.10
PLATTE CO MULTI JUR ENF GRP	0	0	0	0
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0.24
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	0.28	0	0	0
STL CO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0.13	0	0	0.62

(Continued)

TABLE 35
OUNCES OF DRUGS OBTAINED BY PURCHASES AND FREE SAMPLES
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	MARIJUANA	COCAINE	CRACK	METH	HEROIN	LSD	PCP
	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES
DRUG TASK FORCE							
METRO MULTI JUR UNDR CVR DRUG PROG.-STL CITY	1346.80	86.61	3.47	0.01	597.11	0	0
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	6.03	0	0.17	1.26	0	0	0
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST PLAINS CITY	119.92	0	0	3.38	0.03	0	0
EAST CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	1.47	0	0	0.20	0	0	0
MID-MO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NITRO-GRUNDY TF	0.77	0	1.02	15.65	0.88	0	0
FRANKLIN UNION TF	1.00	0	0	0.05	0	0	0
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF	16.69	0	0	4.86	0	0	0
STATEWIDE TOTAL	2143.42	232.87	33.90	269.83	610.70	8.80	1.82

TABLE 35
OUNCES OF DRUGS OBTAINED BY PURCHASES AND FREE SAMPLES
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	ECSTASY	PSUEDO/EPHEDRINE	ANHYDROUS AMMONIA	OTHER DRUGS
	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES
DRUG TASK FORCE				
METRO MULTI JUR UNDR CVR DRUG PROG.-STL CITY	0.31	0	0	0.10
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	0	0	0	0
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST PLAINS CITY	0	0	0	2.70
EAST CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0.98
MID-MO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0
NITRO-GRUNDY TF	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN UNION TF	0	0	0	0
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF	0	0	0	0
STATEWIDE TOTAL	2.22	0	0	49.55

TABLE 36
DOSES OF DRUGS OBTAINED BY PURCHASES AND FREE SAMPLES
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	MARIJUANA	COCAINE	CRACK	METH	HEROIN	LSD	PCP
	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES
DRUG TASK FORCE							
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP AGAINST DRUG ABUSE-BRIDGETON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	0	0	0	200	0	8	0
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COMBINED OZARKS MULTI JUR ENF TEAM-GREENE CO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JACKSON CO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	7	8	1	104	0	0	3
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.-JEFFERSON CO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KC MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	16	4	0
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
PLATTE CO MULTI JUR ENF GRP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	74	5	0

(Continued)

**Anhydrous ammonia is measured in gallons.*

TABLE 37
PROCESS STATUS OF SEARCH WARRANTS AND CONSENT SEARCHES
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	WARRANTS APPLIED FOR	WARRANTS AUTHORIZED	WARRANTS SERVED	WARRANTS SERVED/ARREST MADE		CONSENT SEARCHES
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	%	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE						
METRO MULTI JUR UNDR CVR DRUG PROG.-STL CITY	94	94	94	87	92.6	25
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	48	48	48	46	95.8	18
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST PLAINS CITY	37	37	37	32	86.5	26
EAST CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	78	78	77	60	77.9	55
MID-MO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	78	78	78	78	100.0	160
NITRO-GRUNDY TF	17	14	14	11	78.6	41
FRANKLIN UNION TF	16	16	16	13	81.3	74
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF	12	12	12	12	100.0	21
STATEWIDE TOTAL	1247	1240	1220	1137	93.2	3700

TABLE 38
ERADICATED MARIJUANA OUNCES
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	OUNCES WILD MARIJUANA	OUNCES CULT MARIJUANA	OUNCES SINS MARIJUANA	PLANTS WILD MARIJUANA	PLANTS CULT MARIJUANA	PLANTS SINS MARIJUANA
	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE						
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP AGAINST DRUG ABUSE-BRIDGETON	0	0	0	0	144	0
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	0	0	0	0	123	0
COMBINED OZARKS MULTI JUR ENF TEAM-GREENE CO	0	0	0	0	302	0
JACKSON CO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	254	0
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	4	0
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.-JEFFERSON CO	0	0	0	0	60	0
KC MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	0	960.00	0	0	1,095	0
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	0	0	0	4	13	0
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	31	0
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	0	2.08	0	0	60	0
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	4	0
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	217	0
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0
PLATTE CO MULTI JUR ENF GRP	0	0	0	0	24	0
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	32	0

(Continued)

TABLE 38
ERADICATED MARIJUANA OUNCES
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	OUNCES WILD MARIJUANA	OUNCES CULT MARIJUANA	OUNCES SINS MARIJUANA	PLANTS WILD MARIJUANA	PLANTS CULT MARIJUANA	PLANTS SINS MARIJUANA
	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE						
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	95	188	0
STL CO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	11.39	0.03	0	0	869	0
METRO MULTI JUR UNDR CVR DRUG PROG.-STL CITY	0	0	0	0	40	0
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	0	1504.00	0	0	156	0
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST PLAINS CITY	0	0	0	0	334	0
EAST CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	17	230
MID-MO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	139	0
NITRO-GRUNDY TF	0	0	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN UNION TF	0	0	0	0	8	0
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF	0	0	0	52	0	0
STATEWIDE TOTAL	11.39	2466.11	0	151	4,114	230

TABLE 39
DESTROYED METHAMPHETAMINE LABS
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	METH LABS
	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE	
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP AGAINST DRUG ABUSE-BRIDGETON	10
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	1
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	118
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM-GREENE CO	64
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	46
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.-JEFFERSON CO	277
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	1
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	5
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	115
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	55
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	3
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	83
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	6
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP	1
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	72
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	122
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	101

(Continued)

TABLE 39
DESTROYED METHAMPHETAMINE LABS
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	METH LABS
	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE	
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCLR DRUG PROG.-STL CITY	39
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	26
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST PLAINS CITY	93
EAST CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	60
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	29
NITRO-GRUNDY TF	0
FRANKLIN UNION TF	62
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF	6
STATEWIDE TOTAL	1395

TABLE 40
OUNCES OF DRUGS SEIZED
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	VALUE OF DRUGS SEIZED	MARIJUANA OUNCES	COCAINE OUNCES	CRACK OUNCES	METH OUNCES	HEROIN OUNCES	LSD OUNCES	PCP OUNCES
	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE								
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP AGAINST DRUG ABUSE-BRIDGETON	\$686,506	3,838.88	101.44	1.56	1.58	16.09	0	0
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	\$814,367	1,810.67	0.24	7.06	33.71	3.94	0.03	0
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	\$866,185	343.19	0	0	8.85	0	0	0
COMBINED OZARKS MULTI JUR ENF TEAM-GREENE CO	\$566,645	4,298.75	5.24	0	10.34	0.07	0	0
JACKSON CO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	\$8,057,543	34,570.06	85.14	11.45	135.44	0.85	0	0.70
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	\$62,000	423.00	0.68	11.50	1.43	0	0	0
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.-JEFFERSON CO	\$113,539	58.99	2.01	0.03	8.49	0.85	0	0
KC MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	\$15,870,096	25,557.66	605.25	16.15	1531.38	140.16	0.98	28.21
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	\$3,970,826	1,097.14	0.34	0.03	4.08	0.03	0.03	0
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	\$677,720	206.99	1.58	1.26	11.20	1.22	0	0
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	\$864,800	532.76	2.01	0	325.61	0.24	0	0
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	\$244,427	10.62	0.03	0	1.30	0	0	0
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	\$3,049,080	966.23	0.03	0.01	259.01	0	0	0
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	\$172,228	261.47	5.52	13.00	23.07	0	0	0
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	\$52,290	804.28	0.06	0.04	0.50	0	0	0
PLATTE CO MULTI JUR ENF GRP	\$2,114,288	6,635.84	231.74	0.76	0.54	0	0	0
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	\$578,121	33,649.03	0.10	2.00	14.06	0	0	0

(Continued)

TABLE 40
OUNCES OF DRUGS SEIZED
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	ECSTASY OUNCES	PSUEDO/EPHEDRINE OUNCES	ANHYDROUS AMM. OUNCES	OTHER DRUGS OUNCES
	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE				
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP AGAINST DRUG ABUSE-BRIDGETON	1.00	0	0	0
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	0.33	0	0	87.15
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	0	0	0	864.97
COMBINED OZARKS MULTI JUR ENF TEAM-GREENE CO	0.14	0	0	10.86
JACKSON CO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	272.00
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	1.21	0	0	4.01
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.-JEFFERSON CO	0.56	9.00	1317.40	612.80
KC MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	460.93
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	0	0	0	0.74
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	0	0	0	69.47
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	0	1.22	0	0
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0.10
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	0	0	0	64.51
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0.56
PLATTE CO MULTI JUR ENF GRP	0	0	0	674.86
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0.46	0	0

(Continued)

TABLE 40
OUNCES OF DRUGS SEIZED
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	VALUE OF DRUGS SEIZED	MARIJUANA OUNCES	COCAINE OUNCES	CRACK OUNCES	METH OUNCES	HEROIN OUNCES	LSD OUNCES	PCP OUNCES
	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE								
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	\$1,487,207	3,633.52	115.16	0.62	180.21	0.87	7.00	0
STL CO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	\$4,409,670	24,056.31	84.07	29.22	213.54	17.77	0	97.38
METRO MULTI JUR UNDR CVR DRUG PROG.-STL CITY	\$942,015	3,460.65	23.70	14.91	6.92	21.07	0	0
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	\$192,592	2,050.23	0	0.10	17.60	0.10	0	0
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST PLAINS CITY	\$271,351	210.89	0	0	47.19	0	0	0
EAST CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	\$756,502	1,685.61	69.85	1.89	273.98	0.17	0.49	0
MID-MO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	\$548,000	447.18	0.03	0	123.07	0.03	0	0
NITRO-GRUNDY TF	\$12,690	34.04	11.79	4.06	7.04	0	0	0
FRANKLIN UNION TF	\$257,615	934.55	176.66	0	11.69	0.64	0	0
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF	\$81,553	856.28	0.01	0	14.55	0	0	0
STATEWIDE TOTAL	\$47,719,856	152,434.82	1522.68	115.65	3266.38	204.10	8.53	126.29

TABLE 40
OUNCES OF DRUGS SEIZED
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	ECSTASY OUNCES	PSUEDO/EPHEDRINE OUNCES	ANHYDROUS AMM. OUNCES	OTHER DRUGS OUNCES
	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE				
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	0.81	18.66	0	0.86
STL CO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	4.29	1.18	0	58.61
METRO MULTI JUR UNDR CVR DRUG PROG.-STL CITY	0	1.00	0	0.11
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	0	0	0	56.00
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST PLAINS CITY	0	0.22	0	278.76
EAST CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	2.00	9.02	320.00	51.37
MID-MO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0.17
NITRO-GRUNDY TF	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN UNION TF	0	40.53	0	6.33
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF	0	0	0	0
STATEWIDE TOTAL	10.34	81.29	1637.40	3575.17

TABLE 41
DOSES OF DRUGS SEIZED
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	MARIJUANA	COCAINE	CRACK	METH	HEROIN	LSD	PCP
	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES
DRUG TASK FORCE							
MUNICIPAL ENF GRP AGAINST DRUG ABUSE-BRIDGETON	0	0	0	0	238	0	0
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	0	0	0	0	269	6	0
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	0	0	0	36	0	4	0
COMBINED OZARKS MULTI JUR ENF TEAM-GREENE CO	0	0	0	0	2	2	0
JACKSON CO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.-JEFFERSON CO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KC MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PLATTE CO MULTI JUR ENF GRP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	13	5	0
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	8	0	0	1	274	15	0

(Continued)

**Anhydrous ammonia is measured in gallons.*

TABLE 41
DOSES OF DRUGS SEIZED
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2013	MARIJUANA	COCAINE	CRACK	METH	HEROIN	LSD	PCP
	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES
DRUG TASK FORCE							
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCVR DRUG PROG.-STL CITY	0	0	0	0	1074	50	1
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-WEST PLAINS CITY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EAST CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	28	0	0
NITRO-GRUNDY TF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN UNION TF	0	0	0	0	410	0	0
CNET - BATES CO SHERIFF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STATEWIDE TOTAL	8	0	0	37	2309	82	1

**Anhydrous ammonia is measured in gallons.*

Attachment B

Criminal Laboratories State-Funded MCLUP Projects FY13 Summary Report

TABLE 1
COMPLETION STATUS OF CASES DURING REPORTING PERIOD
BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2013	CASES COMPLETED (Cumulative Totals) QTRS 1-4		CASES PENDING (4th Quarter)		TOTAL ACTIVE CASES (4th Quarter)	
	CASES	ROW %	CASES	ROW %	CASES	ROW %
CRIME LABORATORY						
KCPD LAB RESPONSE	8245	58.9	5752	41.1	13997	100.0
ST. LOUIS CO CRIME LAB	8154	73.6	2930	26.4	11084	100.0
ST. LOUIS METRO POLICE LAB	14550	70.5	6084	29.5	20634	100.0
MSHP TECHNICAL LAB	7469	70.9	3064	29.1	10533	100.0
MSHP TROOP B SATELLITE LAB	799	55.4	642	44.6	1441	100.0
MSHP TROOP C SATELLITE LAB	2350	87.7	330	12.3	2680	100.0
MSHP TROOP D SATELLITE LAB	7197	77.7	2071	22.3	9268	100.0
MSHP TROOP D CARTHAGE LAB	2423	86.1	392	13.9	2815	100.0
MSHP TROOP E SATELLITE LAB	3919	72.6	1480	27.4	5399	100.0
MSHP TROOP G SATELLITE LAB	1262	87.5	180	12.5	1442	100.0
MSHP TROOP H SATELLITE LAB	2381	90.2	260	9.8	2641	100.0
ST. CHARLES COUNTY CRIME LAB	1814	75.7	581	24.3	2395	100.0
INDEPENDENCE REG. CRIME LAB	1861	92.0	162	8.0	2023	100.0
STATEWIDE TOTAL	62424	72.3	23928	27.7	86352	100.0

TABLE 2
DRUG TEST STATUS OF CASE EXAMINATIONS
BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2013	COMPLETED EXAMS		DRUG TESTS NOT REQUESTED		DRUGS NOT IDENTIFIED		DRUGS IDENTIFIED	
	CASES	ROW %	CASES	ROW %	CASES	ROW %	CASES	ROW %
CRIME LABORATORY								
KCPD LAB RESPONSE	8245	100.0	6945	84.2	51	0.6	1249	15.1
ST. LOUIS CO CRIME LAB	8154	100.0	2955	36.2	368	4.5	4831	59.2
ST. LOUIS METRO POLICE LAB	14550	100.0	11056	76.0	337	2.3	3201	22.0
MSHP TECHNICAL LAB	7469	100.0	4672	62.6	331	4.4	2466	33.0
MSHP TROOP B SATELLITE LAB	799	100.0	213	26.7	55	6.9	531	66.5
MSHP TROOP C SATELLITE LAB	2350	100.0	6	0.3	209	8.9	2135	90.9
MSHP TROOP D SATELLITE LAB	7197	100.0	3842	53.4	492	6.8	2851	39.6
MSHP TROOP D CARTHAGE LAB	2423	100.0	753	31.1	133	5.5	1537	63.4
MSHP TROOP E SATELLITE LAB	3919	100.0	2286	58.3	111	2.8	1522	38.8
MSHP TROOP G SATELLITE LAB	1262	100.0	0	0.0	79	6.3	1186	94.0
MSHP TROOP H SATELLITE LAB	2381	100.0	272	11.4	169	7.1	1940	81.5
ST. CHARLES COUNTY CRIME LAB	1814	100.0	443	24.4	130	7.2	1191	65.7
INDEPENDENCE REG. CRIME LAB	1861	100.0	1080	58.0	63	3.4	718	38.6
STATEWIDE TOTAL	62424	100.0	34523	55.3	2528	4.0	25358	40.6

TABLE 3
DRUGS AND PRECURSORS DETECTED IN
CASES INVOLVING CLANDESTINE LABS
BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2013	METH FINAL PRODUCT		METH PRECURSORS		METH PRODUCT & PRECURSORS		LSD		PCP		OTHER CLAN LAB	
	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %
CRIME LABORATORY												
KCPD LAB RESPONSE	7	1.8	0	0.0	10	3.5	0	*	0	*	6	85.7
ST. LOUIS CO CRIME LAB	26	6.6	16	28.6	25	8.9	0	*	0	*	1	14.3
ST. LOUIS METRO POLICE LAB	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	*	0	*	0	0.0
MSHP TECHNICAL LAB	48	12.2	7	12.5	40	14.2	0	*	0	*	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP B SATELLITE LAB	2	0.5	2	3.6	6	2.1	0	*	0	*	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP C SATELLITE LAB	156	39.7	9	16.1	56	19.9	0	*	0	*	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP D SATELLITE LAB	23	5.9	4	7.1	29	10.3	0	*	0	*	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP D CARTHAGE LAB	36	9.2	3	5.4	42	14.9	0	*	0	*	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP E SATELLITE LAB	27	6.9	6	10.7	14	5.0	0	*	0	*	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP G SATELLITE LAB	10	2.5	2	3.6	3	1.1	0	*	0	*	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP H SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	0.7	0	*	0	*	0	0.0

(Continued)

TABLE 3
DRUGS AND PRECURSORS DETECTED IN
CASES INVOLVING CLANDESTINE LABS
BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2013	METH FINAL PRODUCT		METH PRECURSORS		METH PRODUCT & PRECURSORS		LSD		PCP		OTHER CLAN LAB	
	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %
CRIME LABORATORY												
ST. CHARLES COUNTY CRIME LAB	58	14.8	7	12.5	55	19.5	0	*	0	*	0	0.0
INDEPENDENCE REG. CRIME LAB	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	*	0	*	0	0.0
STATEWIDE TOTAL	393	100.0	56	100.0	282	100.0	0	*	0	*	7	100.0

TABLE 4
DRUGS IDENTIFIED IN CASES NOT INVOLVING CLAN LABS
BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2013	MARIJUANA		COCAINE		CRACK		METH		HEROIN/OPIATE		LSD		PCP		OTHER DRUGS	
	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %
CRIME LABORATORY																
KCPD LAB RESPONSE	423	4.5	100	14.1	248	14.7	371	5.8	89	1.7	1	6.3	122	60.7	285	2.6
ST. LOUIS CO CRIME LAB	1662	17.7	119	16.8	359	21.3	269	4.2	799	14.8	5	31.3	8	4.0	996	9.2
ST. LOUIS METRO POLICE LAB	1521	16.2	68	9.6	598	35.5	112	1.8	1192	22.1	0	0.0	33	16.4	5361	49.4
MSHP TECHNICAL LAB	856	9.1	77	10.9	81	4.8	950	14.9	440	8.2	2	12.5	3	1.5	592	5.5
MSHP TROOP B SATELLITE LAB	298	3.2	32	4.5	14	0.8	154	2.4	92	1.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	101	0.9
MSHP TROOP C SATELLITE LAB	637	6.8	28	4.0	38	2.3	450	7.1	660	12.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	702	6.5
MSHP TROOP D SATELLITE LAB	801	8.5	38	5.4	59	3.5	1358	21.3	654	12.1	1	6.3	1	0.5	769	7.1
MSHP TROOP D CARTHAGE LAB	560	6.0	32	4.5	25	1.5	751	11.8	281	5.2	0	0.0	4	2.0	352	3.2
MSHP TROOP E SATELLITE LAB	840	9.0	71	10.0	111	6.6	285	4.5	232	4.3	1	6.3	0	0.0	247	2.3

(Continued)

TABLE 4
DRUGS IDENTIFIED IN CASES NOT INVOLVING CLAN LABS
BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2013	MARIJUANA		COCAINE		CRACK		METH		HEROIN/OPIATE		LSD		PCP		OTHER DRUGS	
	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %
CRIME LABORATORY																
MSHP TROOP G SATELLITE LAB	422	4.5	13	1.8	17	1.0	342	5.4	308	5.7	1	6.3	0	0.0	358	3.3
MSHP TROOP H SATELLITE LAB	775	8.3	62	8.8	52	3.1	844	13.2	313	5.8	2	12.5	13	6.5	365	3.4
ST. CHARLES COUNTY CRIME LAB	442	4.7	33	4.7	38	2.3	132	2.1	300	5.6	3	18.8	0	0.0	620	5.7
INDEPENDENCE REG. CRIME LAB	136	1.5	35	4.9	46	2.7	354	5.6	26	0.5	0	0.0	17	8.5	103	0.9
STATEWIDE TOTAL	9373	100.0	708	100.0	1686	100.0	6372	100.0	5386	100.0	16	100.0	201	100.0	10851	100.0

TABLE 5
AVERAGE DRUG CASE PROCESSING TIME BY CRIME LABORATORY
QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2013
STATEWIDE AVERAGE IS WEIGHTED BY
THE NUMBER OF CASES OF EACH LABORATORY

LABID	AVERAGE PROCESSING TIME - DAYS
KCPD LAB RESPONSE	118.5
ST. LOUIS CO CRIME LAB	310.3
ST. LOUIS METRO POLICE LAB	4.7
MSHP TECHNICAL LAB	88.2
MSHP TROOP B SATELLITE LAB	163.3
MSHP TROOP C SATELLITE LAB	69.8
MSHP TROOP D SATELLITE LAB	59.3
MSHP TROOP D CARTHAGE LAB	88.4
MSHP TROOP E SATELLITE LAB	139.3
MSHP TROOP E SATELLITE LAB	113.0
MSHP TROOP G SATELLITE LAB	55.7
MSHP TROOP H SATELLITE LAB	66.7
ST. CHARLES COUNTY CRIME LAB	31.5
INDEPENDENCE REG. CRIME LAB	44.3

TABLE 6
IDENTIFICATION OF NEW ILLICIT DRUGS
BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2013	TOTAL NEW ILLICIT DRUG CASES	
	TOTAL	COL %
LABID		
KCPD LAB RESPONSE	6	7.1
ST. LOUIS CO CRIME LAB	12	14.1
ST. LOUIS METRO POLICE LAB	0	0.0
MSHP TECHNICAL LAB	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP B SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP C SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP D SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP D CARTHAGE LAB	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP E SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP G SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP H SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0
ST. CHARLES COUNTY CRIME LAB	8	9.4
INDEPENDENCE REG. CRIME LAB	59	69.4
STATEWIDE TOTAL	85	100.0

TABLE 7
IDENTIFICATION OF RESURGENT ILLICIT DRUGS
BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2013	TOTAL RESURGENT ILLICIT DRUG CASES	
	TOTAL	COL %
LABID		
KCPD LAB RESPONSE	0	0.0
ST. LOUIS CO CRIME LAB	0	0.0
ST. LOUIS METRO POLICE LAB	0	0.0
MSHP TECHNICAL LAB	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP B SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP C SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP D SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP D CARTHAGE LAB	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP E SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP G SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP H SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0
ST. CHARLES COUNTY CRIME LAB	136	84.5
INDEPENDENCE REG. CRIME LAB	25	15.5
STATEWIDE TOTAL	161	100.0

Attachment C

Multi-Jurisdictional Cyber Crime Task Forces FY13 Summary Report





